7LX

A.

Austin, R. H.	vs	W. D. Wristen,	24.

B.

Brownstone J.	vs	Geo. Rasmussen	4.
Blassingame, J. A	vs	J. C. Hoxie	78.
Bisjes, F. M.	vs	Frank Silvia,	160.
Brown, T. K.	vs	W. H. Donahoo.	172.
Same	vs	N. D. Gilbert	189.

C.

Campbell, J. B.	vs.	E. L. Musick	48.
		J. L. Musick	"
		Dock Malcolm	"
		Wm Adams	"
Cargile, T. B	vs	Jacong Jack Ling.	70.
Clark, A. M.	vs	John F. Parker	94.
Clark & McKinzie	vs.	J. B. Southerland	99
Clark, A. M.	vs	J. W. Jones,	113.
Church, M. J.	vs	W. W. Story	131.
Charlie, Ah	"	R. B. Terry, Jr.	137.

(See also "D" next page)

D.

Donahoo, Beesly & o.	vs.	A. Pare 10.
Same	vs	Wm M. Shortridge 15.
Dickey, W. J.	vs	Geo. Long 80
Same	vs	Jang Goren 81.
Darneal, J. H.	vs	A. B. Butler 124.
Donahoo, M. J.	vs.	Wm Shortridge 129.
DeLong, C. W.	vs	Jno. Saulter 150.
Dickey, W. J.	vs	Joaquin Fouts. 192.

D. (continues)

Downer, Lou	vs	T. C. & I. Co. 168.

F

Farley, Andrew	vs	D. S. Terry Jr.	53.
Fresno. C & I. Co.	vs	Wm A. Fisher,	97.
Fall, L. M.	vs	Young Yeck Chung Co	104.
Fresno Lumber Co.	vs	L. A. Sledge	122.

G.

Grand Central Hotel	vs	S. Hollander	54.
Garcia, Ramon	vs	C. P. R. R. Co	147.

H.

Hensley, G. W	vs	C. D. Davis.	125.
Howard, Chas.	vs	Chas. Cole.	149
Hughes. Geo.	vs	H. B. Jones	191.

L. J.

Johnson. R. B.	vs	C. N. Hann	56.

K.

Kiernan, John	vs	Amos Hussey	117
Kutner, Goldstein & Co.	vs	H. Daily	119

L.

Lewis, Wm. & Co.	vs	Ray White & J. M. Burleigh	3.
Lane	vs	Watt & Daily	186.
Lane & Connelley	vs	White & Burleigh	187.

M.

Mc. Cullough, Geo.	vs	B. Marks & R. A. White	49.
Marrett, Thos.	vs	C. H. Haun.	96.
Mc. Kinzie, W. H.	vs	J. J. Burleigh	98.
Mitchell W. A.	vs	Jacob Papseau.	106

N.

Nolan John vs George Noble & Ed. Noble 101

O.

Owen, Frank. vs John Tillson. 90
Oates, J. F. vs F. G. Breen 109.

P.

Patterson, E. A. vs Jack Hannan 138.

R.

Reynolds, Thos. Ke.	vs	Geo. D. Fish 38.
Rupert, Geo.	vs	C. P. R. R. Co. 118.

S.

Stockton Milling Co.	vs	Jas. E. Faber,	2.
Schilling Carl	vs	Wm Carruthers	30.
Silvia, Fortuna	vs	Sarah Brickley	103.
Silva, Jose	vs	Geo Goforth	119.
Schmal, G.W.	vs.	Dennis & Barker.	130.
Silvas, M.V	vs	J. F. Mantia	136.
Sayle & Harris	vs	F. J. Eisen	165.

T

Terry. D.S.	vs	W. D. Grady	17.

U.

V

Van Vors, Wm. & Co	vs	Natt & Daily.	164.

W

Winchell, Bros.	vs.	J. H. Donahoo.	87.
Walton, C. P.	vs.	F. C. & L. Co	153.
Warner, H. C.	vs.	Hoyt Daily	163.
Wilmerding & Co	vs	Natt & Daily	166.

Y

Yeargin, J. P.	vs	B. W. Doyle	13.
Yon Kee	vs	Quong Tack Lung	75

Z.

Zumwalt, Marion	vs	F. M. Rapelee	100
Zeis, George	vs	A. J. Beckwith.	16.

DA

No. 72.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Higgins
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Made-
" 5.	Defendant in Court, and informed of the Charge against- him and of his rights before the Com-
"	Thos Cross the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that Deft has received Satisfaction for the injury done him - and on payment of the Costs incurred. It is ordered that all proceedings be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged -
5th	S. H. Hill. J.P.

2

No. 73.

In the Justice's Court of Linne Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Stockton Milling Co — Plaintiff

vs.

James E. Faber — Defendant

Action Book Account

Demand $ 66.30/100

R. B. Bury
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Kimball
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
	approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Kimball
" 11.	Now comes the defendant by his attorney H. B. Lien and files
	his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint and files Amen-

No. 74.

In the Justice's Court of Ehrenberg Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuma

William Davis & Co. — Plaintiffs

vs.

Ray White & J. M. Burleigh — Defendant

Action Book Account

Demand $ 18.57/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
April	11th	Summons returned & showing personal Service on the Defendant Ray White Feb'ry the 8th 1885 and on the Defendant J. M. Burleigh April the 9th 1885. together with a copy of the Complaint as file herein - and filed this April 11th 1885 -
"	15th	In this action the Defendants Ray White & J. M. Burleigh having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint as file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendants Ray White and J. M. Burleigh each in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law -
"		In this action the Defendants Ray White and J. M. Burleigh having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants each in the premises having been duly entered according to law - Now at this day on application of the Plaintiffs - It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendants Ray White and J. M. Burleigh in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint as file herein -
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that William Davis & Co. Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendants Ray White and J. M. Burleigh the sum of Eighteen and 57/100 dollars the amount sued on and the sum of Nine and 30/100 dollars Costs of Suit - in lawful Money of the United States -
"		Judgment for the Principal Sued on — 18.50
		" " " Justices fee — 5.00
		" " " Constable " 4.30
"		Total amount of Judgment — $ 27.80
		J. H. Hill J.P. —
July	20th	Issued Execution and gin Const. Winchell —

4

No. 75

In the Justice's Court of Ithica Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. Bronstein — Plaintiff

vs.

Ge. Rasmussen — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $85.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	5th	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	6th	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein - on the 6th day of Feb. 1885. and filed - Dismissed by order of Plaintiff

S. R. Hill J.P.

No. 76

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Dempsie Defendant

Action Vagrancy.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	6th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell-
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now —
paid	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you the said Charles Dempsie be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten days —

S. A. Hill J. P.

6

No. 77.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Thomas — alias Archey McBrian — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given officer
March	17.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff to await identification and Examination —
	20.	This cause Set down for Examination at 10 O.C A.M. March 24. 1885
"	24	Defendant in Court — The Court orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence land is insufficient to establish the identity of the Defendant

D. H. Hill Jr

No. 78—

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias Jas. Nelson — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued against the affiant—
	7.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court— Defendant pleades guilty as charged— and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now—
Paid		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you James Nelson be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $11.00/100 Defendant at once paid Said fine into Court— and thereupon discharged— Paid Court $7.00/100

S. H. Hill J.P.

8

No. 79.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Foley- Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 7th	This Cause heard from 4th Township- County and State aforesaid- Complaint- Warrant of arrest; Bail Bond- affidavit for change of venue- and Transcript- Received and filed this 7th day of Feb. 1885-

No. 84.

In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Kelly
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant pleads not
Same "	guilty as charged — and says he is ready for trial — The Court after hearing the matter — ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 81.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Donahue Buckley & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

A. Pare — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	9th	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Winchell
	"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
		approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell.
Mch.	30th	Summons returned showing Personal Service on Defendant
		together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 11th
		day of February 1885 and filed this day.
	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed.

No. 82.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Church — Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Winchell
" 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held in
Paid	a bond of $50 00 for his appearance
" 16th	This Cause Set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Feb. 20th 1885
" 19.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant
" 20.	Defendant demands trial by Jury Writ issued returnable at 2. O.C. P.M. Feb. 20th 1885
" "	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court Writ returned and filed Defendant ready for trial Jury drawn & sworn questions as to qualifications after which twelve jurors were drawn & by the Court Witnesses Examined for and Con after argument of Counsel the Court was given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict after a short retirement the Jury was returned in to Court with a verdict of acquittal. Defendant discharged

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 43.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Jo Kingsbury — Defendant

Action Esperanza J Person

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 9.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & issued Constable Kimball
" 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant ready for trial. The Court after hearing the evidence discharged the defendant upon the grounds that defendant at the time of the commission of the offence was legally responsible for the act of which he is charged
	E. F. Hill J.P.

No. 84

In the Justice's Court of Ithica Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. O. Keargan — Plaintiff

vs.

B. W. Doyle — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $9.30/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 9th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Kimball
" 11th	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of February 1885. and filed.

14

No. 85.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Nagle & Philip Pitcher, Dick Smith and Jas King — Defendants

Action Vagrancy —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Pinchett, Defendants Ed. Nagle & Philip Pitcher in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence and ordered to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ed. Nagle & Philip Pitcher be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff to be imprisoned in the County Jail of Fresno County for a period of not to exceed Twenty five days each —
	D. H. Hill J. P.
"	Defendants Dick Smith and James King in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O'Clock A.M. Feb 11th 1885 on their own recognizance —

No. 86.

In the Justice's Court of Shiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Note & Hand

Donahue Beesley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

W. M. Shattuck

Defendant

Demand $107.83/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	10.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell.
April	15.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of April 1885 and filed —
"		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
"	17.	Issued alias attachment & given Const Winchell —
"		alias attachment executed returned and filed
"	21st	10. O.C. A.M. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by Law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to Law —
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the Legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law. Now at this day on application of the said Plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — Therefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of $115.64/100 the amount of the Principal and Interest owed on — and the further sum of Thirty and 70/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the Prosecution of this action in Lawful Money of the U.S.
"		Judgment for the Principal and Interest $115.64
		" " " Justices fees — 9.00
		" " " Constable " 21.70
		" " " Total amount of Judgment — $146.34
		D. W. Hill J.P.
"	23.	Abstract made & handed Plaintiff

No. 85.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Nagle & Philip Pitcher, Dick Smith and Jas King — Defendants

Action Vagrancy —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	10	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Winchell. Defendants Ed. Nagle & Philip Pitcher in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence and asked to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ed. Nagle & Philip Pitcher be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff to be imprisoned in the County Jail of Fresno County for a period of not to exceed Twenty five days each —
		E. H. Hill J. P.
"	4	Defendants Dick Smith and James King in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 Oct. A.M. Feb 11th 1885 on their own recognizance —

No. 86.

In the Justice's Court of Shiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Writ of Attachment

Jonathan Beesley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

W. M. Shattuck Defendant

Demand $107.83/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	10.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell.
April	15.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of April 1885 and filed —
"		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
"	17.	Issued alias attachment & given Const Winchell —
"		alias attachment executed returned and filed
"	21st	10. O.C. A.M. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by Law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to Law —
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the Legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law. Now at this day on application of the said Plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of $115.64/100 the amount of the Principal and Interest owed on — and the further sum of Thirty and 70/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the Prosecution of this action in lawful money of the U.S.
"		Judgment for the Principal and Interest $115.64
		" " " Justices fees — 9.00
		" " " Constable " 21.70
		" " " Total amount of Judgment — $146.34
		D. H. Hill J.P.
"	23.	Abstract made & transmitted Plaintiff

16

No. 87.

In the Justice's Court of Ehrick Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Board & Lodging

Geo. Gyis — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Beckwith — Defendant

Demand $ 68.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 10.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given D. E. Faulk
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given D. E. Faulk
" 21	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint herein on the 21st day of Feb. 1885 and filed
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
" 27	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that Geo. Gyis the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant A. J. Beckwith the sum of Sixty Eight and 50/100 Dollars the Principal sued for and the sum of Eighteen and 80/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States
"	Judgment for the Principal sued on — 68.50
"	" " Justices fees — 8.50
"	" " Constables " 10.30
"	Total amount of Judgment — $ 87.30
	S. H. Hill J.P.
" 28	Issued Execution and given Const. Winchell returnable within Sixty days
"	Execution returned fully satisfied as to Principal Cost and accruing Costs
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 88.

In the Justice's Court of Ferris Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

L. S. Terry — Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Grady — Defendant

Action Writ of Attachment —

Demand $175.00 Gold Coin

R. B. Terry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	10th	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell —
"	11	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein — on the 11th day of Feb. 1885 — and filed
"		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
"	16th	Now comes the Defendant in person & demurs to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
March	7th	By order of the Plaintiff this action is credited with the sum of $40.00

No. 89.

In the Justice's Court of Ithaca Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jo Kingsbury, Defendant

Action Exposure of person

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Winchell
"	12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleades guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now.
paid	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Jo Kingsbury be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding twenty days.
		S. H. Hill J.P.
Tuolumne Page 20		
		of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County all and each of you for a period of not exceeding thirty days each.
		S. H. Hill J.P.
Tuolumne Page 19		
1886. Feb.	16.	Now comes the defendant Jas. Flanagan and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Jas. Flanagan be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $6.00 Defendant at once paid said fine & is thereupon discharged. Paid Cash $3.00
Paid		S. H. Hill J.P.
	17.	Defendant E. K. Vincent in Court. Cause dismissed for want of prosecution. Defendant discharged.
Paid		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 90.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

E. R. Sincano, Jas. Flanagan Alfred Cliffford, Frank Pacha & Michal Riley — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb	11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead not guilty as Charged. Defendants Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await their trial.
	12.	Now Come the Defendant Frank Pacha and asks to withdraw his Plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Frank Pacha be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $6.00. Defendant at once paid said fine and is discharged from Cust. D. H. Hill J.P.
	14,	Defendant Alfred Clifford and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty as charged, and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now.
Paid		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence and that you Alfred Clifford be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $6.00 and in default of the payment of said Def. Defendant at once paid said fine into Court is thereupon discharged from Cust. $5.00 D. H. Hill J.P.
	"	Defendant Michael Riley in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty as charged
Paid		and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now.
		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Michael Riley be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $6.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and was discharged Fine Cost $5. D. H. Hill J.P.

See Page 18

No. 91.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Murphy - Louis Hahn - James Cannon, Jr. - Tommy - Frank Jones - Barney McCabe & James Montgomery - Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

J. H. Bell — Attorney for Plaintiff

B. L. Young — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Sheriff Meade
12.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 2. o.c. P. M. Feb. 13, 1885 - Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await their trial —
Dec'd 11	
13	Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Sheriff —
" 2.	o.c. P. M. Defendants in Court. Defendants file their Demurrer to the Peoples Complaint — Submitted & argued by Capt. Jenny Brown to which the following jurors were sworn by consent of parties to try the Cause viz: Emile Bouhard. D. K. Shaw - D. A. Bell - Geo. Rupert. G. Hewes — —
"	Smith Wardell - O. J. Meade. F. J. Cole & P. Le Blanc. sworn and examined as witness for the People - Prosecution Rests - The Defendants and Sam. Maloney. Sworn and examined for the defense — after argument of Counsel. pro and Con - The Cause was Submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict. The jury after a short deliberation - came returned in to Court by the proper officer of the Court with the following verdict. We the jury find all of the Defendants guilty as charged in the Complaint — D. K. Shaw Foreman — Jury discharged Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. o.c. A. M. Feb. 14th 1885 —
14.	9. o.c. A. M. Defendants in Court. Defendants Counsel made a verbal Motion in arrest of Judgment and asked for a new trial — Motion overruled & Exception being the Same fixed for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the Law and all of the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you John Murphy - Louis Hahn James Cannon - James Cannon - Frank Jones - Barney McCabe and Jenny Montgomery — be and you are each & you hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff —

See Page 18 —

No. 92.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Sheriff Inyo
13	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged — Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his trial.
" 16th	2. O. C. P. M. Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty as charged — and waives the legal time of Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now.
"	Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John Burns be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Inyo County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding Ten days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 93

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Matthews, James Daly and John Sara — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	12	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dpt. Sheriff. Which defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for Sentence and ask to be Sentenced now —
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Wm Matthews, James Daly & John Sara be and you are each of you fined in the Sum of $5.00 each and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Inyo County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Not exceeding five days each —
Paid	"	

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 94.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Dunn- Boon Hays Frank Higgins & Andrew Brown — Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 12.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade-
Paid	" Defendant Boon Hays in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant goes Bail for his appearance in the Sum of $50.00 —
	" Defendant Frank Higgins in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a Bond of $50.00 —
	" 16. Now Come the defendant Boon Hays and pleads guilty as Charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now —
Cancel	Whereupon by reason of the Case and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Boon Hays be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $6.00 — Defendant at once pay said said fine — and be discharged — — S. H. Hill J.P. —
	" 16. 2. O'Clock P. M. — Defendant Frank Higgins in Court — witnesses Sworn and examined — Jury and Cause — The Court after hearing the evidence — ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict said defendant —
	S. H. Hill. J.P. —
	" 21st " Defendants John Dunn and and Andrew Brown in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court, Defendants held for their appearance for trial in Bonds of $62.50 each —
March.	7th 2. O'Clock P. M. Defendants in Court and plead guilty — and waive the legal time for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the Case and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you and each of you be fined in the Sum of $6.00 Defendants at once pay said fine into Court — and Whereupon discharged — Paid Sheriff Meade $5.00 —
	S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

W. H. Overton — Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Winston — Defendant

Action Note & Hand

Demand $243.32/100

Doyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Winchell
"	"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued and given Const. Winchell
	14.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of Feb, 1885 and filed—
"	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed—
"	19th	Defendant paid on said action $252.65—
March	20th	In this action the Defendant having been duly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein, and the time for answering allowed by Law having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is duly duly entered according to Law.
		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law— Now at this day on application of Doyle & Harris attorneys for said Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein—
		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of fifteen and 70/100 dollars the fees of the Constable in said action and the sum of fifteen dollars attorneys fees in said action and the sum of One dollar Justices fees in lawful money of the U. S.
		Judgment for Constables fees— 15.70
		" " attorneys " 15.00
		" " Justices " 1.00
		Total amount of Judgment— $ 31.10
		D. D. Hill - J.P.

Issued Execution & gave Const. Winchester — Book 2 Dec 1885

March 24, 1885: Execution returned satisfied & filed —

No. 96

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

B. Meyer, Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Daly & Guppa & Guppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds, Owen & Irwin
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	13.	Complaint filed — Warrant issued & Sheriff — Mandate — Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court — Defendant asked for examination in about of $100 —
"	17.	Defendant files his motion to dismiss this action — Cause set down for examination at 2. O.C.P.M. Feb. 17th 1885 — Issued subpoena for the People and the Defendant —
"	"	2. O.C.P.M. — Parties in Court — Motion to dismiss argued and submitted — The Court took the same under advisement until 9. O.C.A.M. Feb. 18th 1885 —
	18.	9. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court — Motion overruled Defendant Excepts.
"	"	Cause by Consent of Defendant continued until the 24th day of Feb. 1885 at 10. O.C.A.M. —
	24	Cause Continued generally by consent of defendant.
Mch.	6	His Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C.A.M. Mch. 10th 1885 —
"	10.	10. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination It is mutually agreed by both parties that the cause the writing of the testimony in this action — Witnesses sworn and put under the rule of the Court on both sides — Ge. Martin sworn — sworn as Interpreter — N. Pettibone sworn and examined as a witness — Defendant objects to the witness giving any testimony as to the general reputation of the Defendant for peace & quiet when he has known the Defendant. Objection overruled Defendant excepts — John Hammond — B. Hammond N. W. Barbra — P. R. Fanning San Chantra — N. J. Pickle J. D. Campbell. Frank Barello. P. Monahan — A Statham. after which the prosecution Closed —
		Ah Ge. Hop King — Hugh Daly — B. Meyer — sworn and examined as witness for the Defendant. Defence Closed —
"	"	Argument of Counsel continued until 9. O.C. A.M. Mch 11th 1885 —
	11.	9. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court, Cause argued pro and Con and Submitted — The Court took the same under advisement —
"	24th	The Court having fully considered this matter orders that the defendant be discharged the evidence being insufficient to Convict —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 97.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Gibbons, Defendant

Action Burglary in the night time

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	13	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Dept Sheriff Le Blanc
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff - & annal Examination
"	16.	His Cause Set down for examination at 1 O.C. P.M. Feb 16 1885
"	"	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - and ready for examination Alford Clifford and Jas. Flanagan - Sworn and examined as witness as D. Brooks - Depts - Closed - Cause Continued until 1 O.C. P.M. Feb 18th 1885
"	18th	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - and Now waives the introduction of any testimony in his own behalf and Submits his Cause for the Consideration of the Court - The Court Having fully Considered this Matter - and It appearing to me that the offence in the within Complaint mentioned - viz: that the said defendant Thomas Gibbons on or about the 1st day of January 1885 did Commit the Crime of Burglary in the night time by entering in the night time the Entrance of the Agle House situated on H. Street in the Town and County of Butte State of California, and then and there with the intent to Commit Petit Larceny - and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the within named Thomas Gibbons Guilty thereof - I Order that he be held to answer to the Same - and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte - And that he be admitted to Bail in the Sum of $500 - and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte until the give Such bail -

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 98.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Gus Lamont & Frank Lovarna

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff Made Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court — Defendants held to answer in a bond of $100 00 each for trial —
Paid	21	This Cause Set down for Trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Feb 25th 1885 Issued Subpoena for the People & Defendants
	24.	Defendants demand trial by Jury — Venire issued
"	25	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. — Defendants file their demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint — Demurrer argued by the Respective Counsel took a recess until 4. O.C. P.M. this day —
	"	4. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court demurrer fully argued and Submitted to the Court — The Court took the matter under advisement until 10. O.C. A.M. Feb. 26th 1885 —
	26.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. The Court having fully Considered the Matter — Sustains the Demurrer and orders that the Defendants be discharged upon the grounds that the Complaint does not Set forth Facts Constituting a Public Offence — to which the Defendants Except — S. H. Hill J.P.
	28	District Attorney for the People files his Notice of appeal to the Superior Court —

No. 99.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe Collins alias
Peter Carthis
Defendant

Action Mis Testing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 14,	Complaint filed Warrant issued upon which Dept. Sheriff. De Blanc-
" 16.	defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights- upon the case after hearing the Statements of the parties the Court order the defendant discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to commit.
Filed	J. H. Hillgas

No. 100-

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Harris & N. M. Davis — Defendant's

Action Vagrancy-

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	14	Complaint-filed. Warrant-issued & in Sheriff's hands-
"	16.	Defendant-in Court-and informed of the Charge against-him and of his rights-upon the same- after examination of the defendants- the Court ordered that they be discharged- upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient-to convict-the defendants-
Paid		

S. H. Hall

No. 141

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Damages-

Carl Schilling Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Carruthers

Defendant

Demand $299.00/100

Chas. F. Mercier
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. B. Edwards
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		1885 PROCEEDINGS.
June	16.	Complaint filed Summons issued &c. Constable Winchell
Mch	7.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. on the 6th day of Mch. 1885. and filed
Mch	12.	Now Come the Defendant by his attorney E. B. Edwards and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein-
"	17.	By consent of parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Mch- 24th 1885-
	24.	Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff-
"		Defendant filed his amended answer
"		10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial both sides waive trial by Jury- Carl Schilling and W. J. Weakly Sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff Prosecution closed- Wm Carruthers and Geo. Sutherland Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant Defense closed Cause argued and Submitted- The Court took the Matter under advisement-
April	1st.	10. O.C. A.M. The Court having fully considered this matter-
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Carl Schilling do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm. Carruthers. the Sum of Ten Dollars damages and the further Sum of $11.70 Costs necessarily expended in this action for the prosecution thereof- in Gold Coin of the United States-
		Judgment for the damages- $10.00
		" " Justices fees- 6.00
		" " Constables " 3.30
		Witness J.H. Weakly- 1. day & 2. Miles- 2.40
"		Total amount of Judgment- $21.70
		S.H. Hill J.P.
"	"	Defendant allowed ten days stay of Proceedings-
"	3.	Plaintiff filed his cost-bill-

See Page 31-

No. 102

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Visiting the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

BEN R. WALKER
HISTORICAL COLLECTION
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

Bill Cataches

DATE. 188	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 19.	...mant issued & to Dept Sheriff Whit- ...and informed of the charge against- ...s before the Court. Defendant pleades ...and waives the legal time for ...summoned wit- ...of the case and the premis aforesaid ...ignged that for said offence that ...and you are truly fined in the ...in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are truly committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding thirty days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine—
	D. H. Hill J.P.
June 30	
April 3.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney E. D. Edmonds and files his Notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court—
20.	Transcript made this day and handed Clerk.
	D. H. Hill
	J. P.

No. 141

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Damages-

Earl Schilling Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Carruthers

Defendant

Der... 700 00.

...rain

...for Plaintiff

...mans

...or Defendant

DATE.		1885 PROCEE
June	16.	Complaint filed Summons i... ...ndale
Mch	7.	Summons returned showing ... ...Defendant together with a copy of the Co... ...on the 6th day of Mch. 1885. and fi...
Mch	12.	Now Comes the Defendant ... ...Edwards and files his answer to ... ...hum-
"	17.	By consent of parties this Ca... ...for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Mch- 24th 18...
	24.	Issued Subpoena for ...
"		Defendant filed his answ...
"		10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial both sides waive trial by jury- Earl Schilling and W. J. Weakly sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff Prosecution closed- Wm Carruthers and Geo. Sutherland sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant Defense closed Cause argued and Submitted- The Court took the matter under advisement-
April	1st.	10. O.C. A.M. The Court having fully considered this matter-
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Earl Schilling do have and recover of and from the Defendant- Wm. Carruthers. the sum of Ten Dollars damages and the further sum of $11.70 Costs necessarily expended in this action for the prosecution thereof- in Current Money of the United States-
"		Judgment for the damages- $10.00
		" " Jurors fees- 6.00
		" " Constables " 3.30
		Witness W. H. Weakly- 1. day & 2. Mls- 2.40
"		Total amount of Judgment- $21.70
		S. H. Hill J.P.
"	"	Defendant allowed ten days Stay of Proceedings-
"	3.	Plaintiff filed his Cost- Bill-

See Page 31-

No. 102

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Brown — Defendant

Action Violating the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept Sheriff White. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights Upon the Case Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now —
" "	Whereupon by reason of the Case and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Wm Brown be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County for a period of Not exceeding Thirty days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of Said fine —
	B. H. Hill J.P.
Fine $ 30.00	
April 3.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney E. D. Edmonds and files his Notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court —
20.	Transcript made this day and handed Clerk.
	B. H. Hill
	J. P.

No. 103.

In the Justice's Court of 13. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Eugene Sweeney, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Dep. Sheriff White —
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Eugene Sweeney be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding thirty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for any dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 104.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Devine & Wm. Robertson, Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Defendant remanded to Jail to await his trial.
" 24.	Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence. Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Jas. Devine by and you are hereby fined in the sum of $60.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding Sixdays in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. S. H. Hill J.P.
paid	
28th	Defendant Wm. Robertson in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. After examination of this Cause the Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict. S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 115

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Mike Hinds

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Munch
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the Same Defendant told to answer in about of $50.00 —
paid	
" 24.	J. O. C. A. M. Defendant in Court and pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now —
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you Mike Hinds be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $6.00 — Defendant at once paid Said fine and discharged — Paid Court $2.00 —
	S. H. Hill J. P. —

No. 106.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ted Zimmerman. Joe Smith & Jeffy Farral — Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Brought defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court, defendants plead not guilty as charged — after examination of the defendants the Court ordered that they be discharged — upon the ground that the evidence against said defendants is insufficient to convict them —
	D. A. Hall J. P.

No. 107.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
James Brechin
Defendant

Action Vagrancy.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kindall. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged. Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O'Clock A.M. Feb 25th 1885. on his own recognizance.
Feb. 25.	Defendant in Court. Sentenced to pay a fine of Fifty Dollars and to be confined in the County Jail of Fresno County for the term of Fifty days. Defendant committed to the custody of the Sheriff.
Feb. 26.	Defendant paid fine and costs and was discharged.

No. 106.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Dunn & Andrew Dunn, Defendant

Action Robbery

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Terry, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 25.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await examination —
" " Paid	26. This cause is set down for examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Feb 27th 1885 — Issued Subpoena for Defendants —
	27. 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and ready for examination Patrick Walker. J. J. Cole and examined for the Prosecution Prosecution Rests — M. A Black Sworn and examined for the Defense — Defense closed — Cause Submitted — The Court having fully Considered the Matter — orders the defendants discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Commit the Defendants —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 199

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Thomas H. Reynolds
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. D. Fisk jr
Defendant

Action Account for cash

Demand $40 00/100

R. B. Tarpy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 25.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Tuomey
March 4.	Dismissed by order of Plaintiff

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 110.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

James Levine

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept. Sheriff White
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against-
Oct.	him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads
	not guilty — after examination of this cause the Court
	ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the
	ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict —
	J. B. Hill J.P.

No. 111.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Williams — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kindall
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant plead guilty as Charged — Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. P.M. Feb. 27th 1885 —
27	

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Richard Roe — Defendant

Action Playing Faro

Demand $

J. H. Baly — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885	
Feb. 26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.

No. 113.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Playing the game of Faro

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Findale.

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.

Defendant

Action Playing the game of Sam-

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1885		
Feb.	26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant

44

No. 115

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Playing the game of Faro

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kimball

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Playing the game of Faro

Demand $

J. A. Bab
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.

No. 117.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Harper

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb'y 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deputy Sheriff Bostell
" 28	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the Court Defendant Examined - after which the Court ordered that said defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is hardly sufficient to Convict the defendant of Larceny

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wesley Miller

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dpt Sheriff Woodhull —
28	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against — him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial at — 11. o'clock A.M. Feb. 28th 1885 — Defendant held for his appearance in bond of $50.00 —
"	11. o'clock A.M. Defendant in Court — and says he is ready for trial — Smith Woodhull and Thos Brown are examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution closed — Defendant made a statement upon oath — The Court after fully considering this matter — finds the Defendant guilty as charged — Defendant waives the legal time for sentence —
	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Wesley Miller be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $15.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County — and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Not exceeding Fifty days — in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 119.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Note of Hand—

J. B. Campbell— Plaintiff

vs.

E. L. Monick, J. L. Monnett, Dee Malcom & Wm Adams— Defendant

Demand $

Supplee & Supplee Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885—	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 28	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell—
Mch. 4.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant Wm. Adams together with a Copy of the Complaint— Same on the 2d day of Mch— 1885— and filed
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed—
" 10.	In this action the Defendant William Adams having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant Wm. Adams in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law—
"	In this action the Defendant William Adams, having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein— and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant William Adams in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of Supplee & Supplee attorneys for said Plaintiff, It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant Wm. Adams in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein—
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that J. B. Campbell Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant William Adams the sum of Two Hundred Dollars the Principal and the sum of Seventy three and 33/100 Dollars in Gold Coin— and the further sum of Twenty One and 50/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Gold Coin of the United States—
"	Judgment for the Principal sum on— 200.00
"	" " Interest thereon— 73.33
"	" " Justices fee— 8.50
"	" " Constable " 13.00
"	Total amount of Judgment— $294.83

S. H. Hill J. P.—

No. 1211.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note & Lien

G. W. McCollough — Plaintiff

vs.

Barnhard Marks & R. A. White — Defendant

Demand $177.10

Attorney for Plaintiff

R. S. Brittan

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 5.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
" 6.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the Defendant R. A. White on the 4th day of March 1885 and on the Defendant B. Marks on the 5th day of Mch 1885 & filed
" 9.	Now Come the Defendants in person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint Contained —
" 24.	This Cause Set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Mch. 27th 1885 —
27.	2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court — Plaintiff now agrees to allow Defendant until 2. O.C. P.M. April 1st to settle this action — and Defendant agrees that if said Cause is not settled at the hour aforesaid that Plaintiff shall then and there take Judgment against said Defendant for the Principal unpaid on said Note the interest thereon and for Cost of Suit.
April 1st.	2. O.C. P.M. Plaintiff in Court, Defendants failed to appear. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendants a Judgment for the Sum of One Hundred and Eighty two and 57/100 Dollars a balance now due and unpaid on a certain promissory Note filed herein together with the interest thereon at the rate of one and one half per cent per month up to rendition of said Judgment herein and for the further Sum of $4.60 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of said action in Gold Coin of the United States —
	Judgment for the Principal & Interest thereon — 182.51
	" " Justices fees — 5.00
	" " Constables " 3.60
	Total amount of Judgment — $191.11
	S. H. Hill J.P. —
1886. Jan. 2.	Judgment Credited by Cash from R. S. Brittan Sum of $44.85 —

No. 121

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

H. Pettibone & Charley Lock

Defendants

Action Fighting & Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. to Blanchard
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights by the Court. Defendants plead guilty as Charged and waived the legal time for sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 each. Defendants at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged. Paid. Deps. Sheriff Le Barnes $5.00
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 122.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Lew Blackburn — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

J. H. Daly — Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Jeny — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Stumpf. Def. Blanc
3.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the same. Cause set down for trial at 3. O.C. P.M. Mch 3. 1885. Defendant held for his appearance in a Bond for $50. for trial — Defendant demands trial by jury. sworn & sent
"	3. O.C. P.M. Venire returned and filed. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Jurors sworn & answer questions as to qualifications — after which by consent the following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit: G. H. Burkhard. G. J. Markwith. W. H. Donahoe. O. M. Thompson O. P. Wilson. S. J. Brady. Wm. Owen & G. M. McDuell. —
"	P. Le. Blanc — and Jacobson — sworn and examined as witness for the People. Prosecution closed —
	The Defendant. M [illegible] & H. S. Mann — Sworn and examined as witness for the defendant. Defense closed —
	after argument of Counsel pro and con the Court may give the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — after a short retirement the Jury were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court — with the following verdict — We the Jury find the Defendant — Not guilty J. J. Brady. foreman after which the Defendant & Jury were discharged —
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 123.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuma

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Brute — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept. Sheriff Le Blanc
Paid	

No. 124

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action arbitration

Andrew Darby — Plaintiff

vs.

L. S. Terry Jr — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Jut. 27.	Partis file this Stipulation to arbitrate which will more fully appear by consulting the Stipulation on file herein - the bars of this action -
"	Now comes the arbitrators and present for file this award which will more fully appear from or by perusing the Conditions of the award filed herein -
" "	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant do have per Stipulation the said Case maintained in said Stipulation - to have and to Control said Case as awarded by said Arbitrators - and for Costs of proceedings taxed at $3.00 -

S. R. Hill J. P. —

54

No. 125.

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne.

Grand Central Hotel
Plaintiff

vs.

D. Hollander
Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $101.35/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 13th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
	approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell
" 16	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant
	together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th
	day of March 1885. and filed this day —
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed.
" 19.	Now Come the Defendant in proper person and for answer
	denies each and every material allegation in the
	Plaintiffs Complaint contained —

No. 126.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Lew Blackburn, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Owen

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant
" 5.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Defendant by his Counsel Owen files his affidavit praying a change of venue based upon the Statements set forth in said affidavit, The Matter for Change of venue is set for hearing at 2. O.C. P.M. Mch 5th 1885
"	2. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court Motion on affidavit for Change of venue argued and submitted Motion overruled Defendant Excepts Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. A.M. Mch 6th 1885 Trial by jury waived by both parties
6th	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial J. H. Daly sworn and examined as a witness for the People Prosecution Closed Defendant made a statement denying the language but not the act Cause Submitted The Court after hearing the testimony finds the Defendant guilty as Charged and orders the Defendant to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. Mch 7th 1885
7.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court This being the time fixed for sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and of the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Lew Blackburn be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $50 00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Not exceeding fifty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 127.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

R. B. Johnson — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Hamm

Defendant

Action account

Demand $143.50/100

Grady & Murain
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. J. Hines
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

March 14 Complaint filed. Summons issued & given in Court Fresno —
" affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const Fresno —
" 19 Now comes the Defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the plaintiffs Complaint contained —
" 21 Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein — on the 16th day of March 1885 — and filed —
Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
" 27 This cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. March 30th 1885 —
" 30 2. O.C. P.M. Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. April 1st 1885 —
April 1st Cause Continued without day — fixed for trial —
" 13 Now comes the Defendant by his attorney D. J. Hines and files his amended answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein
" 15 Defendant files the Separation of J. W. Weakly —
" 25 By consent of Parties to this action this Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. May 4th 1885 —
May 4 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court, Defendant demands trial by Jury — Cause Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. May 6th 1885 —
Venire and Subpoena issued in gen Court,
" " Venire and Subpoenas returned and filed
" 5 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial — Jurors Sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which the following jurors were Sworn to try the Cause to wit; C. H. Swett, N. J. Stevens, S. B. Combs, H. B. Chance — G. H. Barnhard Sam. Waldenberg Chas. Hodgden — S. H. Witt, N. D. Pickle, L. Bugmann — F. S. Campbell — and M. S. Williams —
" R. B. Johnson Sworn and examined as a witness on the part of the Prosecution — after which the Prosecution Rests —
" C. H. Hamm & W. J. Weakly — Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Defence — after which the Defence closed — Wm M Hughes — and J. M. Cole — Sworn and examined in rebuttal by the Prosecution. Cause closed —

Dec. Page 67.

No. 128.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Ford & Wm Young— Defendant

Action Robbery—

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 6th	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of the rights upon the same — Defendants — in Court and ask for an examination — The Court orders the Defendants discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Commit — Dismiss Defendants — S. H. Hall J.P.
June.	
Page 56	after argument of Counsel for the respective parties The Court has given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — after a short retirement the Jury were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict " We the Jury find for the Plaintiff for the full amount of $143.50 — S. Ingraham Foreman —
	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff R. B. Johnson do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. H. Hamm a judgment for the sum of $143.50 the Principal sued on and the further sum of $57.05 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal — $143.50
"	" " Justices fees — 17.45
"	" " Constables " 11.20
"	" Wm M. Hughes 1. day — 1. mile. 2.20
"	" C. M. Cole " " " " 2.20
"	" Judgment for Jurors fees — 24.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $200.55 S. H. Hall J.P.
"	Defendant allowed a Stay of Proceedings for ten days —
May 7.	Plaintiff files his Cost Bill $57.05/100
" 14th	Paid in to Court by W. Hudson the sum of $103.00 — as a Credit on said Judgment — Balance due $97.55 —
June. 9th	Paid Plaintiff Attorneys the sum of $65.25 —

June 1st Execution returned Satisfied — S. H. Hall J.P.

June 30th Remitted to C. H. Hamm the Balance $44.30 — as Satisfied in full

Appeal to County Court 5th 1885 — Aug. 16th 1886 Judgment Affirmed

No. 129.

In the Justice's Court of 3d, Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Intimidation by threats

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Jeans & J. H. Elam, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Findsell-
" 11	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Cause set down for Examination at 10 O.C. A.M. March 25th 1885- Defendant held for examination in Bonds of $300"- each- Bonds approved & filed-
" 19.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant-
" 25.	10 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and ready for examination G.W. Bennett Sworn and examined as a witness for the People- Prosecution Closed-
"	Sam Jeans, J.H. Elam Wm. Southard, Christian Peterson and John Heath Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendants, Defence Closed-
	Cause argued and Submitted. The Court took the matter under advisement until 9. O.C. A.M. Mch 26th 1885-
" 26.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court- The Court after having fully considered this Matter, now orders that the Defendants be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendants-

J. B. Hall J.P.

No. 134

In the Justice's Court of Hind Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Barney Quinn

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deputy Sheriff Marshall —
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights by the Court Defendant pleads guilty — and waives the legal time for Sentence —
" "	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the grounds that the Defendant has been sufficiently punished for said offence —
	D. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 131.

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

J. Rock. Bofalo, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Murder

Demand $

J. H. Baker, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Mch	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Kimball
	13.	Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & Defendant.
Paid	"	Cause Set down for trial at 10. o.c. A.M. Mch 18th 1885.
"	18.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court
	"	Cause Continued until Mch. 28th 1885. at o.c. A.M. Defendant held for his appearance for examination in about of Two Hundred Dollars. Bond appeared and filed.
"	28th	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. On Motion of the District Attorney the Defendant is discharged upon the grounds of the absence of the Prosecuting witness and the further grounds of the subscribers of said witness.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 132

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Burt Hartin Frank Adams and Charles Jones — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Dept Court. Willis Abbey — Defendants in Court — and informed of the charge against them and of their rights upon the same Defendants plead guilty as charged in the Complaint — The Court ordered the Defendants to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. March the 16th 1885 on their own recognizance —
Paid	

62

No. 133

In the Justice's Court of Buena Township,

of the State of California, County of Buena

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

George Merritt, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deputy Sheriff White
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged
" 12.	This Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Mch 13th 1885
	Issued Subpoena for the People and Defendant
" 13.	Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. this day
" "	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and waives trial by jury and says he is now ready for trial
"	H. P. Duncan, J. J. White H. A. Crannell Wm Davis John Little and Mr Young Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Prosecution Closed
	Harris Merritt, M. J. Church and Defendant Sworn and examined as witnesses for Defendant. Defense Closed
	Cause Submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict. The Court took the Same under advisement until 9. O.C. A.M. Mch 14th 1885
" 14.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the Law and of the premises the Court finds you guilty and that for said offense that you Geo Merritt be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Buena and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding thirty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine

J. B. Hill, J.P.

No. 134.

In the Justice's Court of Yuba Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Battery.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885

PROCEEDINGS.

March 9. Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Justice Court Abbey

" 10 Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Cause set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. March 17th 1885

" 17. Issued Subpoena for the People

" 2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and pleads guilty as Charged in the Complaint Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 2 O.C. P.M March the 19th 1885 and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba until Said time

" 19 Defendant in Court at 2 O.C. P.M This being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the Case and the premises aforesaid, It is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you John Burns be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars and in default of the payment of Said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Yuba and by Said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County for a period of not exceeding Two Hundred days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of Said fine

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 135

In the Justice's Court of Hunt Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

N. Barbara, Defendant

Action Perjury

Demand $

Hunt & Bury
Attorney for Plaintiff

Burpee & Burpee
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the law – Defendant held for his appearance for examination in a bond of $500 – Bond approved and filed –
" 12.	This Cause set down for examination at 10. o.c. A.M. Mch 21st 1885 –
" 19.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and gave Const.
" 21st	By Consent of Parties this Cause is Continued until 10. o.c. A.M. Mch 23d. 1885 –
" 24	Now Comes the District Attorney and Moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict – The Court took the Motion under advisement – Motion allowed –

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 136.

In the Justice's Court of Knid Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Petit Larc

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

B. S. Schell — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Sheriff Court Abbey
" 12th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Def. Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the Sum of $50 & Bond approved and filed
" 19th	Now Comes the party injured and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and paid the Cost incurred in this action It is therefore ordered that the Defendant be discharged
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 137

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Jesse Harrara, Defendant

Action Murder

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff
Doyle & Harris, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade —
May 5th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law — Cause set down for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M. May 26th 1885 — Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County to await said Examination
" 9.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant — also for the People —
" 12.	Subpoena returned and filed —
21.	Defendants Subpoena returned and filed —
" 29th	3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for his Examination The Court appointed C.W. Barker as Short-hand Reporter to Take down the Evidence in this Cause — and Sworn one Harlem Herrara as interpreter — Manuel Pacy — Manuel Martinez — and Fernando Acerie — Sworn and Examined as witness for the People after which the Prosecution Closed, Defendant waived the introduction of any Testimony — Cause Submitted — The Court after due deliberation — ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to hold the Defendant —

J. H. Hills, J.P.

No. 134

In the Justice's Court of Yuba Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

F. N. Silva, Defendant

Action Felony.

Demand $

E. C. Pinchule
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885 Nov. 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Made

No. 139.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ge Smith — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Sheriff White —
" " 13	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant plead guilty as Charged — Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence on his own recognizance at 9 O.C. A.M. Mch 14th 1885 —

No. 140.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Barney Dunn, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 14	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Sheriff Hurtell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant pleades not guilty as Charged and waives the Legal Time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now-
	Wherefor by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that ~~for~~ said offence that you Barney Dunn be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of five days - in the proportion of one days imprisonment for any dollar of said fine
	S. H. Hall Jr. P.

No. 141.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Writ of Attachment

D. B. Vargas — Plaintiff

vs.

Levy Zadek Levy — Defendant

Demand $155.00/100

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 16.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff
" 24.	Plaintiff files his affidavit for Publication of Summons. Order of Publication made and filed.
27.	Order of Sale of perishable property made & filed.
"	Summons returned without Service and filed.
April 7.	Order of Sale of perishable property returned and filed.
"	Attachment executed returned and filed.
"	Paid in to Court the Sum of $84.60/100 by Constable Fanning. also a receipt representing the Sum of $47.30/100 as a credit in this action.
June 4.	Publication of Summons returned and filed.
" 18.	Whereas by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover the Sum of Twenty Six and 51/100 Dollars the Principal Sum remaining due and unpaid and the further Sum of Ninety two and 40/100 dollars necessarily Expended in this action all in Gold Coin of the United States.
"	Judgment for the Principal sum due and unpaid — 26.51
" " "	Justices Fees — 12.00
" " "	Constables " 65.40
" " "	Publishing " 16.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $119.91

D. B. Vargas Jr. —

No. 142

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A. G. Adams — Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

Mch. 16th Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const.

" 17th Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Cause set down for trial at 10 o'c. A.M. Mch. 20th 1885. Defendant fined for his appearance for trial in about of $50.00 —

" 19th Defendant demands trial by Jury. Venire issued. Issued Subpoena for the People and the Defendant.

" Venire and Subpoena returned and filed —

" 20th 10 o'c. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. Jurors Sworn & answer questions as to qualification, after which twelve jurors were Sworn to try the Cause. Asa Steinman & Alto Steinman Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People, Prosecution closed, A. G. Adams Sworn and Examined in his own behalf. Defense closed —

after argument of Counsel pro and con the Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. The Jury after a short retirement came returned into Court with the following verdict. We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. Jury to Defendant discharged —

S. H. Hill J. P. —

No. 143

In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Ferguson

Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 16	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kimball
" 17	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Cause set down for trial at 10 o'c. A.M. March 20th 1885 - Defendant ordered for their appearance for trial in a bond of $50.00 -
" 20	10 o'c. A.M. Defendant in Court - The Court ordered the defendant discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1454

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Richardson

Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & put in Sheriff's hands. Court adjourned to 9 o'clock A.M. Mch 17th 1885.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged. Defendant ordered to appear for sentence on his own recognizance at 9 o'clock A.M. March the 17th 1885.
Mch. 17.	Court met pursuant to adjournment. Defendant in Court. Sentence pronounced that defendant be confined in the County Jail of Tuolumne County for the term of Ninety days.

74

No. 145

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Anthony Ohara — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 16	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. McDonald. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Anthony Ohara be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.50/100 Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged — Paid Court — $2.70/100
" "	E. V. Hill J.P.

No. 146

In the Justice's Court of Flind Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Account

Yon Kee — Plaintiff

vs.

Doug Bak Sing — Defendant

Demand $230.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885	
March 16.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Dept Sheriff for Service
"	affidavit for attachment filed & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given to Dept Sheriff for Service

No. 147.

In the Justice's Court of Hind Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Stanly & Charles Benson

Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Supt. Court Abbey.
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead guilty as Charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C.A.M. Mch 18th 1885: on their own recognizance —
Mch 18th	

No. 148.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Hiram George
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 17.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Abbey
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant guilty as charged in the Complaint. Defendant pleads guilty as charged. Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O'Cl. A.M. Mch 18th 1885; on his own recognizance
paid	

78

No. 149

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

J. A. Blasingame — Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Hoit — Defendant

Action Note & Mortgage

Demand $87.37/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 14.	Complaint filed —
1884, Mch. 9"	Now comes the Defendant — and waives the issuance & Summons in the above entitled action and, admits the Service of the Same — as much so as if issued and Served — Defendant in proper person —
" 16.	In this action the Defendant having regularly Confessed Service of process — and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to Law —
"	In this action the Defendant having regularly Confessed Service of process — and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein, and the Legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law,
"	Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff's It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of Said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Wherefor by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff's do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of $87.37 the Principal Sum due and the Sum of $82.54 the interest thereon — and the Sum of $4.00 Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States —
"	Judgment for the principal Sum due — 87.37
	" " " Interest thereon 82.54
	" " " Costs for — Paid by Plaintiff's 4.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $173.93
	D. H. Hill J. P. —
June 16.	Made abstract and issued Plaintiff's

No. 150.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Wiley — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 17.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Def't Court Mc Donald
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights upon the case.
Mch 21st "	Defendant discharged upon the grounds of his family relations and the Demd discretion of the Court.
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 151.

In the Justice's Court of Shiloh Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. J. Dickey — Plaintiff

vs.

Guy Loney — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $250.00/100

Duppa & Duppa — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 17.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file Issued on the 17th day of March 1885 and filed

No. 152.

In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

W. F. Dickey — Plaintiff

vs.

Dan Lynch — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $250.00

Suppe & Suppe — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885	
Mch. 17.	Complaint filed Summons issued against Defendant. Writ of Attachment
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of March 1885 and filed

82

No. 153.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Jan Dulliman & Eugen Ewalin — Defendant's

Action Asking alms

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 14"	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Dept Const McDonnell
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty to asking for food with the understanding to pay the next days. Defendants discharged.

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 154.

In the Justice's Court of Flood Township,

of the State of California, County of Buena

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Dan McCarty — Defendant

Action Burglary in the night time

Demand $

J. H. Daly — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 18	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Made-
Paid	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- him and of his rights before the Law.
23	This Cause set down for examination at 3. O.C. P. M. March 24th 1885. Issued Subpoena for People-
24	3. O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for examination - Willis Ably & Geo. Lee. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People - Prosecution Closed - Defendant made a statement before Court — Cause Submitted to the Court. The Court after hearing fully Considered the Matter - Orders that the Crime of Burglary be reduced to Petit Larceny — To which the Defendant- plead guilty - and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be Sentenced Now - Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Dan McCarty be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Buena and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Thirty days in the proportion of One day imprisonment for every Dollar of said fine

S. H. Hill J.P.

84

No. 155

In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

of the State of California, County of Humboldt

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Williams, Dan M. Carty and Fred Speck — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 18	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead guilty as charged in the Complaint; Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. March 19th 1885
" 19.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court this being the hour fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Henry Williams, Dan M. Carty and Fred Speck be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Humboldt and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said for a period of Not exceeding five days each—

S. H. Hill. Jr. C.

No. 154.

In the Justice's Court of Ivins Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

William Carr

Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 18	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C.A.M. March the 19th 1885 —
" 19	9 O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence — Wherefor by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you William Carr be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Not exceeding five days —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 157

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

H. A. Newman, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

J. H. Baltz, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 18	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade—
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court— Defendant pleads not guilty as charged— Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A. M. March 26th 1885— Defendant deposited the Sum of $50 00 as a bond for his appearance for trial—
" 26th	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court— Cause Continued until 1 O.C. P.M—
" "	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court— and says he is ready for the examination of this Cause— Both parties waive trial by Jury— Original Batty, Wm Creelman, John Davis & Enos Burleigh— Sworn and examined as witnesses by the People, Pros. Clouse—
"	H. A. Newman and Creelman— Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant— Defense Closed—
"	Cause argued and Submitted— The Court took the matter under advisement until 9 O.C. A.M. March 27th 1885—
" 27.	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court— The being the hour fixed for the finding of the Court— The Court thereupon after hearing fully considered this matter— find the Defendant guilty as charged— Defendant; waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now—
	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid— it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you H. A Newman be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $15 25/100— Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and Discharged— Fine Cost $11.25/100
	S. H. Hill J.P.

1884

1

No. 158.

In the Justice's Court of 13th Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Winchell L. A. — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Donahoe — Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $79.24/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
Mch.	19.	Complaint filed —
1886. Mch.	19th	Summons issued and given Court Winchell —
Oct.	15	affidavit for attachment made & filed — Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court Fresno Sheriff.
"	25.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein on the 23rd day of Oct — 1886 — and filed —
		Writ of attachment & execution returned and filed —
"	26.	Defendant filed his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein —
1887. May	4.	J. P. fees — Paid by Plaintiff

No. 155.

In the Justice's Court of Shiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Dan. McCarty

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell.
" 24	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads not guilty — and says he is ready for trial — and waives trial by Jury — and is tried by the Court —
	Willis Abby and George Lee — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution closed —
	Defendant made a Statement. Defense closed —
	The Court after fully considering the Matter finds the Defendant guilty as Charged — Defendant waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now —
	Wherefor by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Dan McCarty be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of thirty days, from the 24th day of April 1885 in the proportion of One days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1611

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

O. C. Brinnon

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 20	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Const Abbey
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant held in a bond of $25.00 for his appearance for trial
paid	

No. 161

In the Justice's Court of Ivanhoe Township,

of the State of California, County of Tulare

Frank Owen — Plaintiff

vs.

John Dillon — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $10.00/100

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 21st	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney.
" 23d	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day of March 1885 and filed —
" 27th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the said Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, now at this day on application of E. E. Calhoun Plaintiff's attorney — It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Ten Dollars the principal sum and the sum of Five 70/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in said action in Complaint Thereof the United States —
"	Judgment for the principal — 10.00
	" " " Justice fee — 4.00
	" " " Constable " 1.70
"	Total amount of Judgment — $ 15.70
	D. B. Hill J.P.
"	Issued Execution and gave Plaintiff —
"	Execution returned Satisfied and filed
	D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 162

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

Action Murder

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Victoria Amman alias Victorianna Ezodzar— Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
March	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the officer—
"	27.	alias Warrant issued and given Dept. Sheriff Cann
April	22.	Issued alias warrant & given Dept. Sheriff White—
Sept.	8"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court—
"	30.	This Cause set down for Examination at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct. 10/85
"		Issued Subpoena & given the Sheriff—
Oct.	6.	" " " " "
"	10.	Subpoenas returned and filed—
"		Defendant in Court and ready for Examination— The Court appointed Miss Emma Brazzia— Short-hand reporter— and Frank Barella & M. P. Jacky— interpreters— for this Cause Julio Leiba & James Cruz— Sworn as interpreters and Examined for the Prosecution. Prosecution Rests—
		Defendant waived the Examination of any witness— Cause argued and Submitted— The Court after hearing the testimony and fully considering the Same— It appearing to me at the Offence in the Complaint filed herein— has been Committed and that there is Sufficient Cause to believe the above named Victorianna Ezodzar— guilty thereof Ordered that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Amador without Bail—
		Interpreter fee allowed— J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 163.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Joseph Kelley
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 25	Complaint filed Mch 25th Warrant issued & given to Dept Const McDonald
Paid	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty as charged - Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 o'clock A.M. Mch 26th 1885 on his own recognizance -

No. 164

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendocino

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Rich Roe
Defendant 3

Action Vagrancy - Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 25	Complaint and affidavit filed. Warrant issued and the affrain-

No. 165.

In the Justice's Court of Sluice Township,

of the State of California, County of Sierra

A. M. Clark — Plaintiff

vs.

John H. Parker — Defendant

Action Debt & Assault

Demand $ 127.75/100

Doyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 25	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Coun- Hinchcliff
"	affidavit for attachment Made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
	approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const- Hinchcliff-
" 31st	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant
	together with a Copy of the Complaint- on file herein on the 26th
	day of March- 1885, and filed-
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed-
April 2	By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed- J.H. Jno. Parker-
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 166

In the Justice's Court of Fluid Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

G. E. Webber, Harry Cockrum, Jim Anderson, Wm Sheridan, Thos McFarland & Jas A Bryant — Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Bimehill Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at J. O. C. A. M. March 26th 1885. on their own Recognizance —
"	

No. 167.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Thomas Merritt — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Hann — Defendant

Demand $22.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 25.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Kimball.
" 26.	Summons returned & showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein this day & filed.
" 31st.	Now Comes the defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint Contained. "
April 3.	This cause set down for trial at 2. o'c. P. M. April 7th 1885.
" 7	2. o'c. P. M. Plaintiff in Court and ready for trial, after waiting more than one full hour for the appearance of the Defendant and said Defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney.
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant a judgment for the Sum of Twenty two Dollars the amount and on and the Sum of Six Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Lawful money of the United States.
"	Judgment for the Principal — 22.00
	" " Justices fee — 4.00
	" " Constable " 2.00
	Total amount of judgment — $28.00
	S. H. Hill J.P.
"	Defendant allowed ten days Stay of proceedings.
May 12.	Issued Execution returnable within ten days and gave to Constable.
" 22.	Execution returned Satisfied Cause dismissed.
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 166.

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The Fresno Canal and Irrigation Company Plaintiff

vs.

William A Hinton Defendant

Action Account for Water

Demand $200.00/100

Nourse & Church Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 25	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Cerwood
April 3	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 1st day of April 1885 in the County of San Francisco State of California — and filed this day — April 3rd 1885 —
" 14	Now Come the Plaintiff and ordered that this action be dismissed — D. K. Hill J. P. —

No. 162

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. H. McKenzie — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank J Burleigh — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $30.60/100

H. E. Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 26	Complaint filed Summons issued & in Court Winchell
" 27	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant on the 27th day of March 1885. together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein and filed
" 30	Cause dismissed by Plaintiff — Paid J.P. fees

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 170.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account-

Clark & McKinzie Plaintiff

vs.

John B Dutherland Defendant

Demand $42 50/100

F. E. Faulkner Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-	PROCEEDINGS.
March 26th	Complaint- filed Summons issued and given Constable Winchell-
April 11th	Now Comes the defendant in open Court- and waives the issuance and Service of Summons and the Copy of the Complaint on file herein- and acknowledges the Service of Same- and further consents- that Plaintiff may at once take Judgment herein against him for the amount Claimed by Plaintiff to wit- the Sum of $42 50/100- and for Plaintiff Costs- incurred in the prosecution of this action-
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant- a Judgment- for the Sum of Forty- two & 50/100- Dollars the amount Sued on and the Sum of $4- Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Compliance with the Statutes of the United States-
"	Judgment- for the Principal 42.50
"	" " Justices fees- 4.00
"	Total amount of Judgment- $46.50
	J. H. Hill J. P.-
" 31st	J. P. fees Paid by W. H. McKinzie-

No. 171.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Marino Yaninovich — Plaintiff

vs.

D. M. Roeslee — Defendant

Action Account for labor

Demand $12.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.	
March 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell.	
" 30.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 30th day of March 1885 and filed —	
"	Defendant paid in full Cause dismissed —	
	S. H. Hill J.P.	
From Page 101.	Judgment for the Principal —	29.70
	" " " Jurors fees —	7.50
	" " " Constable "	25.00 Pd
	Witness Bruce Dixon — one day — & mile	2.40
	" John Dixon — " " " "	6.40
	Total amount of Judgment.	$71.00
	S. H. Hill J. P.	
	Defendant attend a Stay of proceeding for ten days —	
"	Plaintiff files his Cost — bill of $41.34/100	
1885. April 30.	Now Comes the Defendants by their attorney S. S. Wright and file their Notice and Undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court.	
May 9.	Hand the Clerk a Transcript and all the papers in the cause	
	S. H. Hill J.P.	

The above judgment of John Noland v. Geo. & Ed Noble is paid in full Nov. 28th 1885 to the plaintiffs including costs,

no appeal was perfected

Wharton & Shaw
Attys for Plff

No. 172.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

John Noland Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Noble & Ed. Noble — Defendants

Action Account — for labor

Demand $29.70/100

Martin & Stearns
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. D. Wright —
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell.
31.	Summons returned & being personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint filed herein on the 31st day of March 1885. and filed —
April 3d	Now Comes the Defendant — by their attorney D. D. Wright — and files this demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint — on file herein
6.	This Cause Set down for trial at 10. o'c. A.M. April 11th 1885 —
"	Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & given Const. —
" 11	10. o'c. A.M. Summons Returned and annulled —
"	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint — on file herein —
11	Parties in Court and ready for trial — John Noland, John Stearns & Bruce Stearns sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff Prosecution Closed Court —
	The Court took a recess until 1 o'c P.M. —
" 1 P.M.	Defendant moved the Court for a nonsuit upon the ground that all of the Contracting parties are not joined with the Plaintiff in this action —
	The Court took the Motion under advisement — Subject to the general results of this Cause —
"	George Noble, Ed. Noble and S. S. Hoag. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendants; Defense Closed — Cause argued and Submitted. The Court took the Same under advisement — without day —
" 21.	2. o'c. P.M. The Court having fully Considered this Matter, Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendants a Judgment for the Sum of $29.70/100 for the amount Due for and the further Sum of $41.30/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — in Lawful Money of the United States —

See Page 102 —

No. 173.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Lee

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const McDonald.
"	Defendant in Court and remanded to the custody of the Sheriff to await his examination.
" 30	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Twenty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 174.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action account for labor

Fortunato Silvia — Plaintiff

vs.

Sarah Brierley — Defendant

Demand $221.00/100

Grady & Miriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885	
March 30th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable

No. 175.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Book account

Lee Datt — Plaintiff

vs.

Young Yuck Chung & Co — Defendant S

Demand $250.00/100

Bayley & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 30.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court, Winchell.
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court, Winchell
31st	Summons returned & showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 30th day of March 1885. and filed —
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
April 6th	On this action the Defendant Young Yuck Chung. having been regularly served with process. and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the Default of said Defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law —
"	On this action the Defendant Young Yuck Chung - having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein. and the Legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of Bayley & Harris attorneys for said Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant Young Yuck Chung in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Two Hundred and fifty Dollars the Principal sued on and the sum of Fourteen and 90/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action. in Lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal sued on — 250.00
	" " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " Constables " 6.40
"	Total amount of Judgment and Costs — $264.90
	S. H. Hill J.P.
" 7.	Issued Execution and given Const Winchell —
13.	Judgment paid in full — —
	S. H. Hill —

No. 176.

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
March 31.	Complaint made and affidavit made for issuance of Warrant. — Warrant issued in the usual form — Justice fees Paid — D. F. Hall J.P.

No. 177

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

N. A. Mitchell — Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Paparean — Defendant

Action account.

Demand $16.83/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
March	31.	Complaint filed & Summons issued & given Const. Kimball
April	1st	Summons returned & having personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 31st day of March 1885 and filed this day —
"	7th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer
"	7.	Paid on this action the Sum of $15.00 and disb. $4.30 —
"	10th	Paid in full and dismissed —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 178

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

W. B. Gibbons & George Douglas
Defendants

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
March	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Kindall
April	2.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants held to answer for trial in a bond of $50.00 each. Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. April 7th 1885. Issued Subpoenas
"	7.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Cause Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. this day.
	"	1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court. Cause Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. May 9th 1885. Witness under order of Court.
May	8.	By consent of Parties this Cause is Continued generally.

No. 179

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendocino

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Bailey & James Kent

Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued this day Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead guilty and by the Court found guilty as charged Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. April 2d 1885. on their own recognizance
" 2d	

No. 180.

In the Justice's Court of Wind Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. E. Davis — Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Breese — Defendant

Action account

Demand $ 11.75/100

R. B. Ivey — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Court. Abbey-
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
		approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Court. Abbey-
"	2.	By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed. Plaintiff
		paying the Constables Court & Defendant paying J. P. Cost.
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 181

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Fone and Ah Fone — Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 2nd	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const: Abbey —
"	Cause dismissed — D. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 182.

In the Justice's Court of 3" Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Monroe
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Abbey
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Paid	and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty
"	and by the Court found guilty as Charged. Defendant waives
	the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now
"	Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid
"	It is ordered and adjudged, that for said offence that
	you John Monroe be and you are hereby committed
	to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said
	Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County
	for a period of Twenty days.

J. H. Hill Jr. P.

No. 183.

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

George Burton — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865	PROCEEDINGS.
April 2.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty, and by the Court found guilty as charged. Defendant waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced therefor by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Geo. Burton be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of twenty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 184.

In the Justice's Court of Enid Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

A. M. Clark — Plaintiff

vs.

J. B. Jones — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $37.55/100

Dash & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	3d	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney.
"	10th	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 6th day of April 1885 at the County of San Joaquin and State of California and filed this April 10th 1885.
"	21st	By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed. J.P. Paul D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 185.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Gibbons — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	4th	Complaint filed — Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law, Defendant pleads guilty as charged in the Complaint — and by the Court found guilty — Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. March 6th 1885 — Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff until said time —
"	6th	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court this being the time fixed for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Thomas Gibbons be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Fifty Dollars and in default of the payment of said that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Fifty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every Dollar of said fine

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 146.

In the Justice's Court of Knoxville Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Murphy & Frank Klimm — Defendants

Action Burglary in the night time

Demand $

J. H. Baldy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	4"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Kimball —
April	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights by the Court Defendants Committed to the Sheriff to await examination —
"	6"	2. O.C.A.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready for Examination — Geo. Sammel — G. H. McDonell & Fritz Beatinghaus — Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Prosecution — after which the People Rest — direct — Frank Klimm, John Murphy & Wm. Robertson — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant Defendants Closed — Lucien Sworn and examined in rebuttle by the People — Cause Closed and Submitted — The Court took the same under advisement — until 9. O.C.A.M. April 9th 1885 —
"	9"	9. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court — The Court after having fully considered the matter — there being no sufficient Cause to believe the above named John Murphy and Frank Klimm guilty of the Offence mentioned in the Complaint on file herein & Order them to be discharged — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 187

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

James McKenna — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $2.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 4	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law — Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County until 9 o'clock A.M. April 6th 1885.
" 6	9 o'clock A.M. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge again him &c. Now Comes the party injured and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him — and on payment of the Costs incurred & costs all proceedings on the part of the prosecution to be stayed and the Defendant to be discharged thereupon —
	D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 188

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Glenn, John Mullay, Thos Riley & Mike Moran, Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	6	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Dept. Const. McDonnell
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged and waive the Legal time for Sentence.
	"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you be and you are each of you Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by Said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County for a period of Thirty days each of you—

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 149.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

George Rupert — Plaintiff

vs.

The Central Pacific Rail Road Company — Defendant

Action Damages — for non delivery —

Demand $

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 6th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiffs attorney —
" 11.	By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed —

D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 190.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Jose Silva — Plaintiff

vs.

George Goforth — Defendant

Action Account for labor

Demand $100.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	6th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Ellis 3d Township
"	13th	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint annexed hereto on the 13th day of April 1885 at the 3d Judicial Township County and State aforesaid and filed this day April 13th 1885
"	24th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with proof and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the Sum of $100/100 the Principal Sued on in this action and the Sum of $5.80/100 Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Lawful Money of the United States
"		Judgment for the Principal 100.00
		" " Justices fee 4.00
		" " Constables " 1.80
"		Total amount of Judgment $105.80
		S. H. Hill J.P.
30		Judgment Paid in full

No. 191.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Lon Garcia, Defendant

Action Murder

Demand $

E. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Dupuy & Dupuy, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court — Cause set down for examination at 10. O.C. A.M. April 25th 1885 — Defendant Committed for examination to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno —
" 18	Issued Subpoena for the People & Defendant & given Sheriff Meade
" 25	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and Says his ready for trial. E. H. Gearhart appointed Short-hand Reporter by the Court. Jas Jones and Edward Perry Sworn and put under the Rule of the Court and then examined separately as witness by the Prosecution after which the People rest — The defense failed to put any witness on the Stand after which the Cause was submitted to the Court — The Court after hearing fully Considered the Same and it appearing to me that on or about the 22d day of February 1885 at the County of Fresno State of California that Lon Garcia did unlawfully feloniously with Malice aforethought Kill and Murder one Tony John a human being with a Certain Pistol then and there in the hands of the said Lon Garcia and that there is Sufficient Cause to believe the said Lon Garcia guilty thereof. Order that he be held to answer to the Same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — without bail —
	Dated this April 25th 1885 —
	E. H. Hill J.P.
"	Handed the District attorney the papers in this action —

No. 192.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

James Dennis & Joe. Smith — Defendant

Action For Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 7th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept Sheriff LeBlanc —
April 7th	Defendant Joe Smith in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the Court Defendant held for trial in a bond of $50 & —
" 11th	Defendant James Dennis in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now —
"	Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you James Dennis be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $20 & — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Twenty days — in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — S. H. Hill J.P.
13.	The action against Defendant Joe Smith is set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. April 14th 1885 — Issued Subpoena for witnesses —
14.	2. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court — and ready for trial — witnesses Sworn and examined pro and Con — Cause Submitted — Court after hearing fully Considered the Same Orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict the Defendant — S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 193.

In the Justice's Court of Strict — Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The Tuolumne Lumber Company, Plaintiff

vs.

L. A. Sledge — Defendant

Action account.

Demand $165.14/100

J. E. Gaddert, Attorney for Plaintiff

D. A. Holmes, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	7th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Windhill
"	"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court Windhill
"	9th	Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 4th day of April 1885. and filed this day April the 9th 1885
"	"	Writ of attachment executed returned & filed
"	16th	Now Comes the Defendant by his attorney D. A. Holmes and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein
"	25th	Demurrer Submitted and overruled — Cause By Consent remains subject to the trial herein
May	2.	Defendant's Motion to discharge attachment
July	31st	J. P. fees Paid by Plff

No. 194

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Baumacher & Geo. Wheeler, Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	8th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Sheriff. Court. Adjourned
"	11th	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead not guilty as charged. Defendants held for their appearance for trial in sum of $50 each. Bond approved and filed.
April	23d	This cause is dismissed without prejudice to the People upon the ground of the absence of the Prosecuting witness in this action his whereabouts being unknown at this time. J. H. Hill J.P.

124

No. 195

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Sammet

Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baldy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 10th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Made Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court " Defendant bailed for his appearance for trial in the Sum of $300.00
" "	
13th	Now Comes the partys injured before the Court and before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done and on payment of the Costs incurred & Order all proceedings to be Stayed upon the Satisfaction and the Defendant to be discharged therefrom

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 196.

In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

G. W. Hawley — Plaintiff

vs.

C. B. Davis — Defendant

Action account

Demand $41.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	11th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to the Plaintiff —
"	21.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed —
	27th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of $41.00 the Principal Sued on and the Sum of $4.80 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Lawful Money of the United States.
	"	Judgment for the Principal 41.00
	"	" " Justices fees — 4.00
	"	" " Constable " .80
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $45.80
		S. H. Hill J.P.
May	9.	Issued Execution and gave the Plaintiff —
1887. Jan 22.		J.P. fees paid by Plaintiff —
Jany 7th 1889		Judgt paid to me in full & Satisfied. G. W. Hawley

Received $5.50 Jan 7/89
Lin Wood Const
Const of Wm Isaac.

126

No. 197.

In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

J. H. Samuel — Plaintiff

vs.

A. B. Butler — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $13.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
	15.	Summons returned & sworn personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 16th day of April 1885 and filed
	"	This Cause settled in full & dismissed

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 198.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - Geo. Sheephead -

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	14"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kinchell
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged - and waives the legal time for Sentence.
		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and that for said offence that you Geo Sheephead be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and to said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Thirty days—
		J. H. Hill - J.P.

No. 199.

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

George Roeder — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 14	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kimball.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against
15th	him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads
"	guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises
	aforesaid. Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged
	that for said offence that you Geo. Roeder be and you
	are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff
	of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in
	the County Jail of said County for a period of Five
	days—

S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 200.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

M. J. Donahoe — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Shortridge — Defendant

Action account

Demand $216.86/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	14"	Complaint filed & summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell -
"	15"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of April 1885 and filed -
"	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -
"	17th	Issued alias attachment & gvn Const. Winchell
"	"	alias attachment executed returned and filed
"	21st	10. O.C. A.M. In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law -
"	"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein -
		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of $216.86/100 for the amount of account sued on, and the further sum of $30.70/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the U. S. -
"	"	Judgment for the Principal sued on — 216.86
		" " " Justices fees — 9.00
		" " " Constables " 21.70
"	"	Total amount of Judgment — $247.56
		D. H. Hill J. P.
"	23.	Abstract of Judgment handed Plaintiff

No. 211.

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

G. W. Schnell — Plaintiff

vs.

John Dennis & J. H. Barker — Defendants

Action account

Demand $43.50/100

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Jones — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 14.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney —
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant John Dennis together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed —
" 17.	Now comes the Defendants by their attorney R. B. Jones and file their answer to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
" 21.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. April 22. /85 Issued Subpoenas for the Plaintiff and Defendant —
" 22.	1. O.C. A.M. Cause Continued until 4. O.C. P.M. this day —
"	4. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial. J. H. Cole. E. E. Calhoun & P. A. Bonchet. Sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff Plaintiff Closed direct — John Dennis. W. H. Horton and D. M. Mantell Sworn and examined as witness by the Defendant Defense Closed — Cause argued & Submitted — The Court took the Same under advisement
" 28.	The Court having fully Considered this matter — Therefore by reason of the law the evidence and the premises aforesaid — It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendants the Sum of $14.12/100 damages and the Sum of $20.85/100 Costs making in all in this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal — 14-12.
	" " " Justices Fees — 7.75
	" " " Constable " 9.10
	Witness Cole — 1. day. 2.00
	" P. A. Bonchet. " " 2.00
"	Judgment for Total amount $34.97
	S. H. Hill
"	Defendant allowed 4 days of Stay of proceedings for ten days.
May 5	Plaintiff & Defendants filed his Cost bill — $20.85/100 —
" 7	Now the Court directs the Case in this action

See Page 132.

No. 212.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action For Possession and damages

W. J. Church — Plaintiff

vs.

F. W. Dietz — Defendant

Demand $200 & Costs

Fresno & Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. D. Britton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Winchell.
" 16.	Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of April 1885 and filed.
" 21.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney H. D. Britton and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
22.	By Consent of the Plaintiff the Defendant is allowed ten days in which to file his answer to Plaintiffs Complaint herein.
May 6th	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney H. D. Britton and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.

No. 203.

In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of Calaveras — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chung — Defendant

Action Peddling goods without a License

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	16	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Sheff Const. McDaniel Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence thereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Chung be and you are hereby fined the the sum of $5 — Cts. — Defendant at once paid into Court. Said fine and thereupon discharged — Paid Court $2 2½ D. H. Hill J.P.
Page 130.		
May	6	Motion to retax the Costs in this action argued and submitted. The Court took the Same under advisement.
"	28	The Court having fully examined this matter — and have reached this Conclusion — 1st the offer in writing to allow judgment to be taken against the Defendant for a Specified Amount at any time before trial is equivalent to a tender — Sec — 2074 — C. C. P. and Consequently must be pleaded in a written answer and filed in the Case or after answer has been put in must be made out in writing and filed among the papers of the Case so as to become a part of the Record of the Case — and when so filed the plaintiff can if he claims more the Court for judgment for the amount Specified in a written offer so filed in the Cause with the Costs then accrued — But the offer of Compromise is not an admission that any thing is due, Sec — 2078. C. C. P. and Consequently the offer and the failure to accept it Cannot be given in evidence or in any manner affect the recovery otherwise than as to Costs — If you make a tender before Suit — you are required to allege it in your answer and then you must bring the amount tendered and deposit it in Court and thereby keep up the tender. 28th Cal. 238. And so it is with the written offer after Suit is Commenced — which is Equivalent to a tender you must not only make it out in writing. See Page 133.

Brom

No. 204

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Mury Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonald & Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant plead guilty as Charged — and waives the Legal time for Sentence — Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Thomas Mury for said offence be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $50 and Defendant of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Fifty days in the Proportion of one day's imprisonment for every Dollar of said fine
	D. H. Hill J.P.
Fresno Page 132.	and Discharged to the Plaintiff but you must bring it and file it among the papers in the Case giving to the Plaintiff at all times before the trial the right to accept the amount offered Hence it is my opinion that unless the Defendant reduces to writing and file among the papers of the Case and offer to allow Judgment to be taken against him for a specific amount that he cannot avoid Costs by making a written offer to the Plaintiff and not filing the same among the papers in the Case before the trial — Motion denied —
	D. H. Hill J.P.
June 19th	This Case settled in full —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 2115.

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Alonzo Church, Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief.

Demand $

Haight & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Nason & Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Sheriff White.
paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for trial in a bond of $100.00 - Bond approved & filed -
"	28.	This Cause is set down for trial at 1. O'C. P.M. April 30th 1885 -
	"	Issued Subpoenas for the People & gave Const. Sheriff.
	30	Defendant demands trial by Jury - Jurors issued & gave Const.
	"	1. O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Parties ready for trial Jurors and Subpoenas returned and filed - Jury drawn and empannelled. Witnesses sworn and Cause opened and examined - Cause argued and Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict - after a short retirement the Jury were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict - We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty - H.S. Hedges - Foreman

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 206.

In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Malicious Mischief

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Gibbons

Defendant

Demand $

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	21	Complaint filed Warrant issued and Sheriff Winchell
"	27	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Defendant called for trial in about ½ hour - Bond approved and filed
Paid		
"		By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 11 O.C.A.M. May the 9th 1885 -
May.	8	Parties in Court and have agreed to Commit no disturbance one to the other until a decision is had in the Land Office as to their rights to the land in dispute and by Consent agree that this Cause be Continued generally.

No. 207.

In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

M. V. Silveira Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Mauha Defendant

Action Board Bill -

Demand $25-25/100

Duffy & Duffy Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const- Winchell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
	approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const- Winchell-
" 22.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant
	together with a copy of the Complaint- on file herein on
	the 21st day of April 1885- and filed this day-
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
" 23	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw
	and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein-
"	This Cause Set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. April 27th 1885-
"	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & gave his Consent-
" 27.	By Consent of Parties this Cause Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. May 2d. 1885-
"	Parties Consent that Plaintiff file an amended Complaint
	Plaintiff files his amended Complaint-
" 30.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant- & gave Consent-
May. 2.	By consent this Cause is continued until 10 O.C. A.M. this day-
"	10 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for Trial. Charley Ray
	Sworn- M. V. Silveira- Sworn and Examined as witness for
	the Prosecution- Plaintiff Closed- Sworn
	as Interpreter- A. N. Alveria & J. H. Mauha. Sworn and
	Examined as witness for the defence- Defendant Closed-
	Cause argued and Submitted. The Court took
	the Matter under advisement-
" 5.	The Court having fully Considered this whole Matter-
"	Whereupon by reason of the law the evidence and the premises
	aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff
	do have and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment
	for the Sum of $25-25/100 the Principal Sued on- and the further
	Sum of $22.05/100 Costs- necessarily incurred in this action in lawful
	money of the United States-
"	Judgment for the Principal Sued on 25.25-
	" " " Interest " 13.95-
	" " " Constable " 5.90
	To Trip Charley Ray- 1. day- 1. Mile- 2.20
"	Total amt of Judgment- S. H. Hall J.P. $47.30

May. 6. " Plaintiff files his Ex-Bill- June 25. 1885- Ex'd. and Filed

Execution issued May 15th 1885 to Sheriff Const-

returned not Satisfied May 25th 1885 Satisfied

1885. May. 6th Defendant allowed a Bill of Exceptions for his

No. 208.

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Trinity

Ah Charlie — Plaintiff

vs.

L. S. Terry Jr. — Defendant

Action Account for Labor

Demand $14.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 20th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell.
" 21st	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day filed.
" 25th	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney R. B. Terry and files his motion to quash Summons & also his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein.

No. 209.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

E. H. Patterson — Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Hannan — Defendant

Action Money Loaned

Demand $45.00/100

Doyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 20"	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Kinchell.
" 21,	Summons returned & sworn personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20" day of April 1885. and filed — this day —
May. 12.	On this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed for answering having expired. the default of said Defendant in the premises is duly duly entered according to law —
"	On this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired — and the default of said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that E. H. Patterson do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jack Hannan the Sum of $35.00 amount due on this action and the Sum of $5.40 Costs incurred herein and in this action in Lawful Money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal — 35.00
	" " " Justices Fee — 4.00
	" " " Constable " 1.80
"	Total amount of Judgment — $40.80
	S. H. Hill J. P.
" 14.	Execution issued and given Const returnable within fifteen days —
"	Affidavit for order filed —
"	Order issued and given Const — "
"	Defendant in Court — and ordered that he have a notice Claim — which he deposited in Court — to be redeemed in ten days —

May 15" 1885. Execution returned Satisfied —

No. 214.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Daier, M. Daier & John J. Smith, Defendants

Action Assault-

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-	PROCEEDINGS.
April 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Kimball
21st	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law, Defendants held for examination in a bond of One Hundred Dollars each and Separately-
22.	This cause by Consent- Set down for examination or trial, at 1. O'C. P. M. April 24th 1885-
"	Issued Subpoena for The People & Defendants upon Constable
24.	1. O.C. P. M. Defendants in Court- and Say they are ready for examination- C. H. Hamm and Henry Morris Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution after which the People Closed-
	Defendants offered no testimony. Cause argued and Submitted to the Court- The Court after having fully considered this matter- and it appearing to me from the evidence solicited from the witnesses examined that Henry Daier M. Daier & John J. Smith did willfully and unlawfully on the 19th day of April 1885. at the County of Fresno and State of California assault one C. H. Hamm, there and then holding in their hands Shot- Guns and did then and there cock and point- Said Guns at the person of Said C. H. Hamm making threats at the same time to shoot- and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the Said Henry Daier, M. Daier & John J. Smith guilty thereof. It is Ordered that they be held to answer to the same- and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno- and that the Said Henry Daier, & and the Said M. Daier be admitted to bail in the Sum of $300. each and that the Said John J. Smith be admitted to bail in the Sum of $100. and are each of them committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until they give such bail- This April the 24th 1885-
	J. H. Hill J. P.
" 25-	Handed the District attorney the papers in this action-

No. 211.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Delano, Defendant

Action Embezzlement

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. McDonell
" 21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the law—
"	Now comes the Party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and in payment of the cost incurred in this action. Order that the proceeding be stayed upon the Defendant to be discharged therefrom—
	J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 212.

In the Justice's Court of Linden Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Mosso

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 21st 1885	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. McDonald
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court — Defendant agrees to Leave the State at once — He is therefore discharged and upon said promise —
Paid	J. H. Hill —

No. 213.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendocino

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Wilson alias John Jones — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	22	Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Officer
"	25	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Case at this time by the consent of the Parties to this action. Thomas Tibbs was Sworn and examined on behalf of the Defendant Cause then Continued by the Consent of the defendant until 10. O.C. A.M. April 28th 1885. Defendant held for his examination in a bond of $1000".
"	28	By Consent of the Defendant this Cause is Continued until 10. O.C.A.M. May the 6th 1885.
May	4	Now Comes the District Attorney and Moves the Court to discharge this Defendant upon the grounds that the evidence is uncertain and to some extent doubtful. Motion granted. Deft. discharged.

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 214.

In the Justice's Court of Ehrel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

George Knopp

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 22	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Sept Court Abbey.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the Legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now
Paid	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Geo. Knopp be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $20.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Twenty days or the proportion of one day imprisonment for every Dollar of said fine

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 215

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Battery –

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

M. Hamlon
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 22.	Complaint-filed Warrant-issued & given Const. Kindall-
" 23.	Hun cons the pers- injured before the Trial of this action and acknowledge that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him- and on payment of the Cost incurred- Order all proceedings to be Stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged thereupon.
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 216

In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Insurance

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank West, Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

D. J. Hinds, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. Abbey
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held to answer in the Sum of $250 for his appearance for trial
paid	Bond approved and filed —
28	This cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A. M. April 29th 1885
"	Issued subpoena for the People & gave Const
29	Cause Continued generally — by Consent — of Defendant.
"	This Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P. M. May 2nd 1885 —
	Defendant demands trial by jury —
30	Now Comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Convict — the Defendant. It is so ordered —
	S. H. Hill J. P. —

No. 217.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
John Monroe & Jas Thompson
Defendants

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 23d	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Fanning.
" 24th	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead Not guilty as Charged. Cause set down for trial at 1 o.c.P.M. April 27th 1885. Defendants demand trial by Jury.
" 27.	Issued Venire and Subpoena & given Const.
"	1 o.c.P.M. Parties in Court. Venire returned and filed Defendants ready for trial. Jurors drawn to answer question as to qualification. after which the following Jurors were drawn to try the Cause to wit: Thos Campbell H.P. Hodges Geo Fanning. C.H. Haun J.A. Barker Jas Krause. Geo. McCullough. Geo. Dinder H.H. Nelson.
"	A. Combs and F.J. Neely. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Prosecution Closed.
"	Defendants Sworn and made a Statement upon Close. Cause Submitted to the Jury. and after a short retirement were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict. We the Jury find the Defendants guilty and recommend them to the mercy of the Court. J.A. Barker foreman
"	Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 1 o.c. P.M. April 28th/85
24th	1 o.c. P.M. Defendants in Court this being the time fixed for Sentence.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John Monroe & James Thompson be and you are each of you fined in the Sum of $10.00 each and in default of the payment of said that you and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days each in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.

S.H. Hill J.P.

No. 218.

In the Justice's Court of Final Township,
of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Damages -

Ramon Garcia Plaintiff

vs.

The Central Pacific Rail Road Company — Defendant

Demand $100.00/100

Sayle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Bennett Biggerstaff & Brooks
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	24th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const Court Atty-
May	13.	Same came the Defendant by its attorney Bennett Biggerstaff & Brooks and files its answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein-
May	21st	This Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. May the 30th 1885-
"	23.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. June 3d 1885-
June	3d	10. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court both parties waive trial by jury and Say they are ready to proceed- Morris Braccamont- Marcus Braccamont- R. Monaco & Ramon Garcia- Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution- after which the Prosecution rests- Defendant- moved the Court to grant a Nonsuit upon the grounds that the Prosecution had failed to prove the slightest degree of Carelessness on the part of the Defendants or that the Defendants had Contributed to the Killing of the Cow as alleged in the Plaintiffs Complaint- on file herein- Cause argued and submitted to the Court for its Consideration- both as to Defendants Motion- and as to the merits of the action- Upon the Court. The Court took the Same under advisement-
"	16"	The Court having fully and Carefully Considered the whole Matter- Defendants Motion for a nonsuit is hereby overruled. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant- a judgment for the Sum of Eighty Dollars damages and the further Sum of $15.60/100 Cents necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Compulsory Money of the United States-
		Judgment for the damages — $80.00
		" " " Jurors Fees — 6.00
		" " " Sheriffs " 2.50
		" " " Clerks Certificate " 50
		Witness W. Braccamont — 1 — day 1 — mile 2.20
		" " " " " " " 2.20
		" R. Monaco " " " 2.20
		Total amount of Judgment $95.60

S. H. Hill. J.P.-

June 7th 1885- Issued the Clerk the papers in the action — June 23d 1885- Defendant- filed his Notice and undertaking on Appeal-

June 18th 1885- Plaintiff filed his Cost-Bill $15.60/100

No. 212

In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel A. Bispo — Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given the affiant
" 28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law —
"	Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has waived Satisfaction for the injury done him, and on payment of the Costs incurred, & order all proceedings to be Stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged thereupon

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 224.

In the Justice's Court of Blind Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Replevin

Charles Howard — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Cole — Defendant

Demand $50.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	27.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
	"	affidavit and undertaking in Replevin issued.
	28.	This cause is dismissed — By the Plaintiff.
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 221.

In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

O. H. De Long — Plaintiff

vs.

John Sandler — Defendant

Action account

Demand $15 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 28	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff
"	Defendant Paid in full —

O. H. Hills —

No. 222

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Browning

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Sheriff's Office abbey-
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Court examined Defendant-& discharged-
		S. H. Hill J.P.
June		
Page 15-2.		The Jury after some three hours retirement were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict-viz! Tuolumne May 7th 1885- We the Jury find Defendant-guilty- John G. Gage foreman- The Court ordered that the Defendant- John J. Cole appear for Sentence at 10. O.C.A.M. May the 9th 1885-
May.	9.	10 O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court- This being the time fixed by the Court for Sentence-
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it- is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John J. Cole be and you are hereby fined in the sum of One Hundred and Forty and 25/100. ($140.25) Dollars- and in default of the Payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County- and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of One Hundred and forty and one fourth days- in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine-
		S. H. Hill J. P.-
	"	The Court orders that the Defendant be allowed a Stay of Proceedings for the period of ten days including May the 9th 1885.
		S. H. Hill J. P.
May.	21st	This action is credited by $40.25- Paid Sheriff-
July.	9.	Issued Committed and gave Sheriff-

No. 223.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John J. Cole - Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 29.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Mandate
30.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a Bond of $100.00 Bond approved and filed -
May 6	This Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. May 7th 1885 -
"	Defendant demands Trial by Jury - Venire and Subpoena issued and given Sheriff -
"	Venire returned and filed - also Subpoena -
7th	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and pleads Not guilty as charged and says he is ready for trial -
"	Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were sworn to try the Cause to wit: John Boyle - Frank Johnson. H. D. Aden. Henry Jones. W. H. Chance. J. S. Yager. Venire exhausted. Issued Special Venire and gave Constable Winchell - Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. this day. May 7th 1885 -
"	2. O.C. P.M - Defendant in Court; Jury all present; Special venire returned and filed - Jurors sworn to answer question as to qualification; after which the following additional jurors were sworn to try this Cause to wit; O. P. Wilson. C. C. Ackle. H. Ranchon - M. Phillips. and S. K. Sharpe - By consent of the parties to this action it is agreed to try this Cause with Eleven Jurors -
	O. J. Meade W. A. Ledbetter & A. C. Williams sworn and examined as witness for the prosecution. The ordinance of October 1884. of the Board of Supervisors - the Book in which said ordinance is Recorded and the Certificate of the Publication of said Ordinance offered in evidence - after which the Prosecution Closed -
	John J. Cole sworn and examined as a witness for the defense - after which the Defendant Closed -
	after argument of Counsel for the respective parties the Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation and retired -

See Page 154 -

No. 224.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Charles P. Walton
Plaintiff

vs.

The Tuolumne Canal and Irigation Company-
Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $299.07/100

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tuttle & Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
"	30	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant to gether with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 29th day of April 1885. and filed this day-
May.	5th	Now comes the Defendant by its attorneys Tuttle & Church and file its answer to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein-
July	1st	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for Trial at 10. O.C. A. M. July the 10th 1885-
	8.	Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & given Plaintiff
"		Plaintiff demands trial by Jury- venire issued & given Const.
"	10"	10. O.C. A. M. Parties in Court Subpoena returned and venire and filed- Plaintiff asked leave to file an amended Complaint- Leave granted- Amended Complaint filed- Parties ready to proceed Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification after which by Consent of Parties the following Jurors were drawn to try the Cause Viz: J.K. Austin. J.B. Ralston R.B. Pickle- R.A. Fleming. C.M. Jones- Edward Doe. M.L. Williams and P. Monahan- The Jury ordered under Charge of the Constable to view the premises in Controversy- The Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. this day-
"	"	2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court- Jury all present- Parties ready to proceed- C.P. Walton sworn and examined as a witness for the prosecution- Witness asked to State a conversation with M.J. Church the President of the Tuolumne Canal and Irigation Company about the break in said Canal- Defendant objects- Objection overruled Defendant Excepts- J.A. Trent. H. Banta, Jr- J. Bantaja J.S. Eastland. A.M. Irwin- I. Beilman. H. Knepper- C.C. Harris- after which the prosecution Closed- direct-
"		A.C. Shephard- Geo. Manuel. Wm Barnum. Geo. Helm- Edward J. Dafell. O.P. Wilson S.B. Church. H.H. Hughes-
"		The Court adjourned until 7/2- O.C. A.M. July 11th 1885-
"	11.	7/2. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court Jury all present. M.J. Church Otto Froelich. J. Johnson- O.P. Wilson recalled. Cross Closed-
"		after argument of Counsel for the respective parties. The-

See Page 165-

No. 225

In the Justice's Court of Eliud Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Alaris
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1885 April 30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff Made-

No. 226.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendocino

Action Appeal with intent to Rise

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

William Spearen — Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baty — Attorney for Plaintiff

S. H. Hill — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
April	30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given in Court to Constable
May.	2.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the law.
"	"	I. O. C. P. M. The Court of its own motion orders that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the prosecuting witness or his representative is not known and the Court knows of no other evidence against the defendant — S. H. Hill J. P.
		From Page 224. — Court resumes the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — after a short retirement the Jury are returned in to Court by one of the proper Officers of this Court with the following verdict — to wit: We the Jury find for the Plaintiff in the Sum of $50 Cts.) Fifty Dollars — M. S. Williams Foreman —
July.	11.	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant a Judgment for the Sum of Fifty dollars damages — and for the Sum of $88 35/100 Costs necessarily incurred the prosecution of this action in lawful Money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the damages — $50.00
		" " " Justices fee — 15.95
		" " " Constables " — 5.00
		" " " Jury " 32.00
		Witness C. C. Harris & H. Bantā Jr — 1. day & 1 — Mi — 4.40
		" H. Bantā & H. Knuppa — " " " " 4.40
		" J. B. Morgan & J. H. Short — " " " " 4.40
		" M. Madson & P. Dilman " " " " 4.40
		" A. McGee & J. D. Earhart " " " " 4.40
		To Subpoena — 13. Witness and 13. Mils traveled — 10.40
		Serving the premises by the Jury — 3.00
"		Total amount of Judgment — $138.35
		S. H. Hill J. P. —
	13.	Plaintiff filed Bill of Costs — $88.35 —

July 23d. 1885. Defendant files his motion and undertaking on Appeal to the Superior Court

July 11th 1885. Defendant allowed 20 days to prepare and serve Bill of Exceptions

No. 227

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

William Pearson — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
May 2.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights upon the same Defendant pleades not guilty as charged
"	1. O.C. P.M. The Court of its own Motion, orders that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the prosecuting witness or his subscribers is unknown and the Court knows of no other evidence against the Defendant.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 228.

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Maitland Weston — Defendant

Action Assault-

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
April 30	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
May 2	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the Law. Defendant pleads Not guilty as Charged —
" "	1. O.C. P.M. The Court of its own Motion orders that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the prosecuting witness or his witnesses is nonknown — and the Court finds no other evidence against the defendant

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 229.

In the Justice's Court of Eliud Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James Kelly & Charles McCrady. Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Sheriff Court. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights upon the Case. Defendants plead guilty as Charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C.A.M. May 2. 1885. on their own recognizance —
" "	

No. 231

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Archy McBreene
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given in Sheriff's hands—
2nd	2.	Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged— Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. May 4th 1885, on his own Recognizance—

No. 231.

In the Justice's Court of Eliot Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

W. F. Bispo — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Silvia — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $45.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	2.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Kimball
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Kimball
"	4.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 2d day of may 1885, and filed — this day
"		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
"	7.	Cause paid in full — thereupon dismissed.

D. H. Hall J.P.

No. 232.

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Williams — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Mitchell Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights Defendant pleads guilty as Charged Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C.A.M. on the 5th day of May 1885. on his own recognizance
" 5th	

No. 233

In the Justice's Court of Linden Township,

of the State of California, County of Sierra

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

James Carpenter & John McDuggan — Defendants

Action Fighting

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 14.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell.
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty as charged and waived the legal time for Sentence and ask to be Sentenced now —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you James Carpenter & John McDuggan be and you are each of you fined in the Sum of $5.00 — each Defendants at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged — Fine Court — $5.00 —
	D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 234.

In the Justice's Court of Stand Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note & Stand & Book Account

H. C. Warner — Plaintiff

vs.

Hugh Dailz — Defendant

Demand $214.19/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Fanning
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Fanning
" 7th	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant Hugh Dailz together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 5th day of May 1885 and filed this day
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
" 12th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired. The default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of the said Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that H. C. Warner Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Hugh Dailz the sum of Two Hundred and seven Dollars the amount of the Note and interest thereon owed as aforesaid and 19/100 Dollars the amount of the account owed as aforesaid and for the further sum of Seventy five and 70/100 Cents necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in favor of the said Plaintiff
"	Judgment for Note and interest thereon — 207.00
	" " account — 14.10
	" " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " Constables " — 17.20
"	Total amount of Judgment. $246.80

S. H. Hill J.P.

By order of the Plaintiffs Counsel dismissed as to Stand Fanning

No. 235.

In the Justice's Court of Shird Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Jpm. Van Vast & co — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Hall & Hugh Daily

Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $114.05/100

Dargie & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Winchell
" 7th	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant Hugh Daily together with a copy of the Complaint annexed herein on the 5th day of May 1885, and filed this day
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed this day

No. 236

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Butte

C. L. Daggett & M. K. Harris
Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Eisen
Defendant

Action Account for Professional Services rendered

Demand $25.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865		PROCEEDINGS.
May	5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell
	11.	Paid in full - and dismissed

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 237.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuma

Wilmerding & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

Ge. Hall & Hugh Daily Defendants

Action Book account

Demand $171.25/100

W. D. Grady. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiffs Counsel-
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
	approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiffs Counsel-
" 7th	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant
	Hugh Daily together with a copy of the Complaint on
	file herein this day and filed —
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed-
" 13th	In this action the Defendants having been regularly Served
	with process and having to appear and answer the Plaintiffs
	Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law
	for answering having expired the default of said Defendants
	each in the premises is duly duly entered according to law-
"	In this action the defendants having been regularly Served
	with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs
	Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having Expired
	and the default of the said Defendants each in the premises
	having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day
	on application of W. D. Grady attorney for Plaintiffs It is
	ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the
	said Defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs
	Complaint on file herein —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiffs
	do have and recover of and from the said Defendants
	the Sum of One Hundred and Seventy-One and
	25/100 Dollars and the further Sum of Eighteen and 20/100
	Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution
	of this action, all in Gold Coin of the United States-
"	Judgment for the Principal Sum of 171.25
	" " Justices Fee- 8.50
	" " Constable " 9.70
"	Total amount of Judgment — $189.45
	D. H. Hill J.P.
" 13.	Paid in full and so noted
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 238

In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

John Kiernan — Plaintiff

vs.

Amos Hussey — Defendant

Action Account for work

Demand $16.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.	
May.	6th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Winchell	
"	8th	Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of May 1885 and filed this day—	
	"	This cause is dismissed by order of the Hon. J.P. pro tem—	
		S. H. Hill J.P.	
Trans. Page 168.		a judgment for the Sum of Eleven Dollars damages and the Sum of $40.20/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action— in lawful Money of the United States—	
"		Judgment for the damages—	11.00
"	" " "	Jurors fees—	9.70
"	" " "	Constable "	12.90
"	" " "	Witness, Wm. Cannon - 1. day - 1. Mile —	2.20
"		G. H. McDonald - " " " "	2.20
"		John Grundy - " " " "	2.20
"		C. H. Jones - " " " "	2.20
"		John Metcalf " " " "	2.20
"		Thos. E Hughes - " " "	2.20
"		M. J Church " " " "	2.20
"		Ah Sing - " " " "	2.20
"		Total amount of Judgment —	$57.20
		S. H. Hill - J.P. —	
	25.	Plaintiff files his Cost bill - $40.20/100 — By consent the Defendant is allowed until and including the 30th day of May 1885 a Stay of proceedings—	
June	2.	Now Comes the Defendant by his attorney Monroe & Church and files his Notice of and Undertaking on appeal to the Supreme Court — Hereby the County Clerk the papers in this action—	

No. 239.

In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Damages

Jon Cunnier
Plaintiff

vs.

The Yuba Canal & Irrigation Company
Defendant

Demand $299.00/100

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Bowen & Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May	6.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Fairchild
"	7.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file Com on 7th day of May 1885. and filed —
"	13.	Now comes the Defendant by its attorneys Bowen & Church and files its answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein —
"	14.	By consent of Parties this is Set down for Trial at 10. O.C. A.M. May 19th 1885 —
"		Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff and gave Const.
	18.	Issued Subpoena for Plaintiff and gave Const.
"	19.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — both parties waive Trial by Jury — Cause tried by the Court without a Jury — Parties ready for trial — J. R. Webb " associated as attorney for Plaintiff — Defendant admits that it is a Corporation. Jon Cunnier — Thomas E. Hughes. Sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff. Defendant moves the Court to Strike out all of the testimony of Thos. E. Hughes. but finally admitted and allowed by Defendant — after which the prosecution Closed —
"		Defendant moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the ground that the Plaintiff has failed to prove ownership of the Canal — Motion overruled Defendant Excepts — The Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P. M. this day —
"		2. O.C. P. M. Parties in Court. Issued Subpoena for the Defendant. Subpoena returned and filed. Thomas E. Hughes on the Stand as a witness for the Defendant — D. O. Brattlinish. Daniel Dunbar. Geo. McCollough. J. N. Manning. S. B. Church and M. J. Church Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant. Dunbar recalled by the Defendant —
"		Cause Closed — after argument by Counsel for the respective parties. The Cause Submitted. The Court took the said Cause under advisement —
"	23.	The Court having fully and carefully Considered this matter — Therefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant — See Page 167.

No. 240

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note & Account

Kutner Goldstein & Co — Plaintiff

vs.

Hugh Daily — Defendant

Demand $106.48/100

Duppen & Duppen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 6th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Writ chattel
" 9th	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of May 1885 and filed this day May 9th 1885
	Paid by Plaintiff — J.P. fees

No. 241

In the Justice's Court of Knights Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Lapsley, Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Kimball
" 8"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Cause set down for examination at 11. O.C. A.M. May the 23d 1885 - Defendant held for his appearance in a bond of $100.
14.	Issued Subpoena for the People and Defendant & given Const.
22.	Issued Subpoenas for the Defendant & given Const.
23.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Defendant says he is not ready for examination in consequence of the absence of witnesses - Court adjourned in order to give Defendant time to make the proper affidavit until one O.C P.M.
"	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and failed or refused to file his affidavit for a Continuance of said Cause - The Court appointed C.P. Graham as Short hand Reporter in this Cause - Julia A. Travis - Henry Smith & F. F. Humphrey - sworn and examined as witnesses for the People - Prosecution closed -
"	J.P. Thomas. E. L. Davis - James Davis - S. E. Sandland. D. B. Cargile Michael O'Connor & Eccles - Martin - Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. May 25th 1885 -
" 25	9. O.C A.M. Defendant in Court and ready to proceed - A.J. Lapsley - Ge. Rupert. B. W. Mantz - B. F. Colbury - Rand Johnson, Sworn closed - Julia A. Travis called in rebuttal - closed - A.J. Lapsley & Johnson in rebuttal - Cause closed - after argument of Counsel pro and Con Cause submitted. The Court after having fully considered this Matter. and believing there is just reason to fear the Commission of the offence complained of - & therefore require that the Defendant A.J. Lapsley enter into and understanding in the Sum of Five Hundred to Keep the peace toward the people of this State and particularly toward Julia A. Travis - Bond approved -

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 242.

In the Justice's Court of Ione Township,

of the State of California, County of Amador

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Safford — Defendant

Action Threats

Demand $

J. B. Daly — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell
" 8	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court — Cause set down for Examination at 11 o.c. A.M. May the 23rd 1885, Defendant held for his appearance in the sum of $100 —
14.	Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defts and gave Const
22	Issued Subpoenas for the Defendant & gave Const.
25.	On Motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed in furtherance of Justice —

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 243.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

T. R. Brown, Plaintiff	Action Note & Mortgage
vs.	Demand $ 100.00/100
W. H. Donahue, Defendant	J. E. Gadbois, Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 8th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff attorney.

No. 244.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Rice
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged, and waives the Legal time for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premis aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon is discharged — Penal Court: $2.50 —
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 245.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Petit Larceny.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Miller

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Constable Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Tuolumne County to await his trial.
" 14	Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and examined for and on. after which the Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to commit the Defendant.

J. V. Hill. J. P.

No. 246

In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Young & J. D. Ewen — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 —	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty and waive the Legal time for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you be fine and each of you fined in the Sum of $5-00/100 each — Defendant J. D. Ewen at once paid fine in Court and thereupon discharged and as Defendant J. the payment of Defendant W. H. Young fine — he is duly committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of one day for and one half day in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
Paid	S. H. Mills J.P.

No. 247.

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

W. Z Rose — Defendant

Action

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Sheriff.
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant pleads not guilty Defendant held for his appearance for Trial in a bond of Fifty Dollars.
Paid		
"	21"	Defendant in Court and withdraws his plea of not guilty and pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said Offence that you W. Z Rose be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $6.50 Defendant at once paid Said fine in to Court and was thereupon discharged — Paid Costs $3.50 —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 248

In the Justice's Court of Eldorado Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Edmund Ford — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept Sheriff. Le Blanc
"	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant being a Small boy and promises to be a good boy in the future. The Court has agreed to take him on trial without discharging this cause.
Paid		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 249.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of Califonnia, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Suck & Ah Lie

Defendant

Action Gaining

Demand $

J. H. Baily
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Abbey
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their right before the Court, Defendants held for their appearance for examination in bond of $200 each
"	12.	Dist Attorney and Defendants Counsel in Court. Motion made by the Dist Attorney to dismiss this action upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendants. Motion granted by the Court and ordered that the Defendants be discharged.

D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 252.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Gaining

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

A. L. Sick
Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const- Winchell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant- Held
Vacated	for ... examination in a bond of $200.00
12.	... attorney and Moves the Court-
	... upon the grounds that the evidence
	... of the Defendant- ... Motion granted- by
	... that the Defendant be discharged-

S. H. Hill J.P.

Nov 5-87

In Justices Court.
Third Township.
Fresno County.

Sideman Lachman & Co
vs
Jesse Morrow.

Notice of Motion
To dismiss

Filed Aug. 26th 1887
S. H. Hill
J.P.

E. E. Calhoun.
Atty for defendant.

No. 249.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Suck & Ah Lie

Defendant

Action Gaming

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Abbey
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants held for their appearance for examination in bond of $200. each
12.	Now comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendants. Motion granted by the Court and ordered that the Defendants be discharged.

D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 252.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Garnishment

Plaintiff

Defendant

DATE

May

Paid

PAGE

1 We hereby acknowledge the receipt of a
2 true copy of the within Notice, at Fresno
3 this July 25th 1887. Tuphur Tuphn
4 Attys for
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

No 5787

In Justice's Court
Third Township.
Fresno County.

Sidman Lachman & Co
vs
Isaac Morris.

Notice of Motion
To dismiss

Filed July 26th 1887
J. H. Hall
J.P.

E. E. Cochran.
Atty for Defendant.

No. 249.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

DATE. PAGE

May. 3

In Justices Court of 3rd Township, Fresno County, State of California.

Before S. H. Hill, Esquire.

Sideman, Lachman & Co. Plffs:

vs

Jesse Morrow. Dfft.

The plaintiffs and its attorneys will please take notice that upon an affidavit and the files, papers, ~~and~~ proceedings and record in the above entitled action, I shall move the Court at the Court room thereof, in the City of Fresno, County and State aforesaid on the 28th day of July 1887. at 10. O'clock in the forenoon, or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard, to dismiss said action, at the Cost of the Plaintiff, for want of Prosecution and delay in Calling the same up for Trial.

Said motion is made (and based) on the grounds that the delay of the Plaintiff, for over three years, to call up and dispose of said action Entitles the dependant to demand of the Court an order dismissing the same with judgment for Costs.

E. E. Calhoun.

Atty: for dependant.

To Wm. Inspper & Inspper.

Attys: for Plaintiff.

Fresno July 25th. 1887.

No. 252.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. L. Sick, Defendant

Action Gaming

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for his appearance for examination in a bond of $200.00
"	Now come the District Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant. Motion granted by the Court and ordered that the Defendant be discharged
Paid	S. H. Hill J.P.
12.	

No. 249.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Suck & Ah Lie
Defendant

Action Gaming

Demand $

J. H. Baty
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 11.	Complaint filed ... Sept. Court. Abbey ...
" "	Defendants in Court ... the Charge against ...
" "	them and of their ... Defendants held ...
	for their appearance ... in bond of $200. each ...
12.	Now comes the Dist... this the Court to dismiss
	this action upon the ... dence is insufficient
	to convict the Def... ted by the Court,
	and ordered that ... is discharged.

D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 252.

In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

of the State of California, County of Humboldt

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

A. L. Dick
Defendant

Action Gaming

Demand $

J. H. Daly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for his appearance for examination in a bond of $200.00
" 12.	Now comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant. Motion granted by the Court and ordered that the Defendant be discharged.
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 251

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Carl Abelare alias Emile Sheider, Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. McDonald
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. The Court after hearing a statement of this Case ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the testimony is hardly sufficient to clearly establish guilt.
	J. H. Hill J.P.
Fresno.	
Pay 182.	for a period of Twenty five days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. Dated this 6. O.C. P.M. May the 18th 1885.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 252.

In the Justice's Court of Linden Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Brown — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May	11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued Issue Constable Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law, and is Committed for trial to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne
Paid	"	Bond of One Hundred Dollars filed and approved
"	25th	Now Comes the Defendant and pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence
	"	Whereupon By reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said Offence that you Andrew Brown be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $6.50/100 Defendant at once pay said fine into Court and thereupon discharged — Paid Court $12.50

D. F. Hill J. P.

No. 253.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Dunn, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

J. H. Baly, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. S. Grady, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Kindred
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights by the Law. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a Bond of $100.00
"	"	Cause set down for trial at 2 o'c P.M. May the 14th 1885
		Issued Subpoena and gave Defendant
"	14.	The People demand trial by Jury, Jurors issued
	"	Issued Subpoena and gave Const.
	"	2. o'c P.M. Defendant filed his affidavit for a change of venue on the grounds of prejudice of the Court & Judge
	"	Cause Continued until 9. o'c A.M. May 15th 1885
"	15.	9. o'c A.M. Defendant in Court. Motion for Change of venue granted Defendant excepts. Defendant filed his Motion objecting to the whole venire upon the ground that they were Summoned by S. F. Kindred, a party at interest. Motion Sustained. Jury discharged and a Special venire issued returnable at 11. o'c A.M. this day. Cause Continued until Said hour
"	"	11. o'c A.M. Defendant in Court. Cause Continued until 9. o'c A.M. May the 18th 1885. Issued venire at the instance of the People
"	18.	9. o'c A.M. venire returned and filed. Defendant by his attorney W. S. Grady withdrew his plea of not guilty and plead guilty as Charged and asked to be Sentenced now.
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Jack Dunn for Said offence be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $25.00. Now comes the Defendants Counsel W. S. Grady and asserts the payment of Said fine at 2 o'c P.M. this day. May the 18th 1885
"	2.	o'c P.M. In default of the payment of Said fine it is ordered and adjudged that you Jack Dunn be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by Said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County of Fresno and State aforesaid.

See Page 280

No. 254.

In the Justice's Court of Ithica Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Brown

Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

J. H. Beck
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. V. Cheney
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	11"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell—
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court and is Committed for examination to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne—
Paid	"	Bond in the Sum of $500.00 filed and approved—
	"	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for examination at 2. O.C. P.M. May the 12" 1885—
	"	Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const.
"	12.	This Cause is set down for examination May 13" 1885. at 2. O.C. P.M—
	13.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination William Delane. Sworn and examined as a witness for the People— On motion of Defendant this Cause is Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. May the 16th" 1885—
"	16.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. and Says he is ready for trial. G. H. McDonell. L. F. Winchell. and Willis Abby. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People— Prosecution Closed— Andrew Brown the Defendant made a statement— after which the Cause Closed and Submitted. The Court took the Same under advisement until 6. O.C. P.M— this day—
"	"	6. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Finding of the Court by Consent of the Defendant; until 9. O.C. A.M. May 14" 1885—
May	14"	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court— It appearing to the Court from the examination of this Cause that on or about the 10th day of May 1885. at the Town and County of Tuolumne State of California that the Crime of an Assault with a deadly weapon "with a Pistol" was made upon the person of one G. H. McDonell a human being. Capable with the present ability to Commit a violent injury on the person of the said G. H. McDonell— and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the said named Andrew Brown guilty thereof. I Order that he be held to answer to the same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne. And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars— and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he give such bail—
		J. H. Hill J.P.
	21.	Hand the District attorney the Papers in this action—

No. 255.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Sidney Johnson Ed. Boyle, Jas. Dayhuff, Thomas Dilworth and Jas. Williams — Defendants

Action Burglary

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 13th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Abbey.
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Chas against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead guilty as charged
	Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C.A.M.
	May 14th 1885 — on their recognizance —

No. 256.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Burnett

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Pleads
	14.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence
Paid		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said Offence that you Jack Burnett be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.50 Defendant at once paid said fine in to Court and thereupon discharged Paid Court $2.50
		J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 257.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

William Lewis & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Ge. Hall & Hugh Daily — Defendants

Action Book account

Demand $70.00/100

F. S. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Suppes & Suppes
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	14	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const: Winchell
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const: Winchell.
"		Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant Hugh Daily together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on this day and filed —
"		Writ of attachment & certificate returned and filed —
"	19	Now come the Defendants by their attorneys Suppes & Suppes and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint contained —
June	12	This cause set — down for Trial at 10 O.C. A.M. June 17th 1885 —
"	17	Cause continued generally upon the ground that Ass't is engaged in the trial of other matter —
"	23	By consent this cause is set down for trial June 29th 1885 at 10 O.C. A.M.
"	30	By consent of parties this cause is continued until 10 O.C. A.M. July 13th 1885 —
July.	9	By end of Plaintiff this cause dismissed in full and dismissed — Cost Paid —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 258.

In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno-

Lem and Connelly
Plaintiffs

vs.

White and Burleigh -
Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $30.00/100

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 14.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Winchell.

188

No. 259.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Weakley, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885-	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 15th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Cause Continued Mitchell
" 16th	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence—
Paid	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you W. J. Weakley be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5-50 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of five and one half days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hall. J.P.—

No. 269.

In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

of the State of California, County of Yuba

Thomas R. Brown — Plaintiff

vs.

N. B. Gilbert — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $20.00/100

F. E. Paddock — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued &c in Court Winchell.
"	"	Summons returned Sheriff personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint and filed here on the 15th day of May 1885 and filed this day.
	20.	This cause tried in fact.

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 261

In the Justice's Court of Ihrie Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Beattie, Defendant

Action Fraud

Demand $

J. V. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Haines, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 8th 15th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Kimball
July 7th	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court.
	The Court could not set the cause down for trial upon the ground of the absence of D. J. Bleuningen the prosecuting witness as to his whereabouts.
	Defendant Counsel moved the Court to discharged the defendant upon the ground that it was unreasonable to deprive the defendant of his liberty awaiting the whereabouts of the prosecuting witness who has just left the County and maybe the State rather mysteriously.
	The Court ordered that the defendant should have his liberty for the present without prejudice to the People in a future prosecution for the same offence.

D. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 242.

In the Justice's Court of Hill Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Sum Bill

George Hughes — Plaintiff

vs.

H. B. Jones for Hm Simmons — Defendant

Demand $ 21.85/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May	15	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Winchell
June	22	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of June 1885 and filed —
"	27th	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according law, Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Twenty and 85/100 Dollars the Principal Debt for and the further Sum Eight and 30/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal — 20.85
		" " " Justices fees — 5.00
		" " " Constables " 3.30
"		Total amount of Judgment — $29.15

S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 263.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. J. Dickey — Plaintiff

vs.

Joaquin Bentz — Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $200.15/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 15	Complaint filed Summons issued to Fresno Count. Writ chuck
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued to Fresno Count. Writ chuck

No. 264.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

D. P. Henry & J. R. White
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1865	PROCEEDINGS.
May 16.	Complaint filed & filed. Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights upon the same & pleaded guilty as charged - and waived the legal time for sentence and asked to be sentenced now -
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you D. P. Henry and J. R. White be and you are each & you are hereby fined in the sum of $10 00 each. Defendants at once paid said fine into Court - and thereby discharged therefrom - Fine Court $14 00 -

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 245

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Roland, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Commit Murder—

Demand $

J. H. Bass, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 18"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Marshall
" 21"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for Examination at 10. O.C. A. M. May 21st 1885— Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff—
" 21.	10. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court. Cause Continued until
22.	10. O.C. A. M. May the 22d 1885—
"	10. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court— Cause Continued until
	1. O.C. P. M. May 22. 1885—
"	1. O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court— and ready for examination— The Court appointed C. H. Gardner Short hand Reporter to take down the testimony— Witnesses sworn and examined to wit:— E. E Ballew. Nellie Bishop. Milton Green and Mrs Howell.— after which the Prosecution closed— Cause Submitted. The Court took the matter under advisement until 9. O.C. A. M. May the 23d 1885—
" 23.	9. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court. The Court having fully Considered this Matter— and it appearing to me that on or about the 16th day of May 1885— that the Crime of an Assault at the Town of Fresno County of Fresno and State of California was feloniously and maliciously made with the intent to Commit Murder on the person of one Jesse Morrow a human being and did then and there with a certain large pocket Knife Cut and wound said Jesse Morrow and, that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Richard Roland guilty thereof. It is ordered that he be held to answer to the same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno, and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he giving such bail—

J. S. Hill J. P.—

No. 266.

In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

B. Myers

Defendant

Action Practicing Medicine without a Certificate

Demand $

E. G. Hinchcliff
Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Shirk
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885.		PROCEEDINGS.
May	18th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hinchcliff.
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant held for Trial in the Sum of $100 00. Bond approved and filed.
June	22.	By Consent this Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. June 25th 1885.
	24.	Defendant demands Trial by Jury.
	25.	Venire issued and given Const.
	"	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Venire and Subpoena returned and filed. Parties ready to proceed. Jury sworn to answer questions as to qualification. Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged. after which the following Jurors were Sworn & by the Court Viz: F. Evenger C.G. Hutchinson. J.M. Coffman. A.J. Gantt. Charles Hodgden. A.K. Redfield. S.N. Brush. Martin Lane. C.C. Harris Wm Dunnick. Frank Davidson & J. Vanrippen.
		Richard Field Sworn and examined as a witness by the prosecution. The Labels on the bottles offered and filed in evidence. also four Cards offered and filed in evidence. A.C. Williams Sworn and examined as a witness by the prosecution. Field on the Stand. Walter Lyon Sworn and examined as a witness by the prosecution. Witness asked to tell what he knew with reference to a Notice ordered to be printed in the Exponitor by the Defendant. Defendant objects. objection overruled Defendant Excepts. Field again on the witness Stand.
		The Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. this day.
	"	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Jury all present. The Prosecution rest. Defendant asked the Court to instruct the Jury to acquit the Defendant. Motion overruled Defendant Excepts. Defendant waived the introduction of any Testimony and Submitted his Cause without argument.
		after argument of Counsel for the prosecution. the Cause was Submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict.

See Page 196.

No. 267

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Jany Mayo — Defendant

Action Dis turbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May. 19th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept. Sheriff Marshall.
"	Defendant Discharged —
	S. H. Hill J.P.
Mendo	
Page 195.	The jury after a short retirement was returned into Court by the proper Officer of this Court with the following verdict — We the jury in the case of People State of Cal. vs — B. Mayo do hereby find a verdict of guilty — C.S. Hunt — Chosen foreman —
June 25	The Court ordered that the Defendant appear for Sentence at 10. O.C. A.M. June 26th 1885 —
" 26th	The time for Sentence of the Defendant Continued until 1. O.C.P.M. this day
"	1. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court, and having been informed by the Court of the nature of the charge against him — and of his plea and the verdict of the jury — and then asked if he has any legal cause to Show why judgment should not be pronounced against him — Defendant moves the Court to grant him a new trial upon the grounds of errors Committed by the Court during the trial of this action — Motion overruled Defendant Excepts. —
"	Now Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you B Mayo be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars and in default of the payment of Said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mendo. and by Said Sheriff im- prisoned in the County Jail of Said County for a period of fifty days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for any dollar of Said fine —
	S. H. Hill J.P.
" 27th	Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Finch & Stuart and files his Notice of appeal and Undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court — —
July 11	Statement adopted — & presented to the Court,
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 264.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Sam Williams alias
Frank Baker.
Defendant

Action Battery.

Demand $

J. H. Baily
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 19th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court arraigned.
"	Defendant in court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for trial in a Bond of $100. Bond approved and filed.
	Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. May the 23d 1885.
23d	Defendant tried and acquitted.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 269

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

of the State of California, County of Tehama

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Echtinecht, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Case Continued Winchell-
" 20.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence—
"	Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Jacob Echtenecht be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tehama and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine—

S. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 270

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Silvia & Frank Brown

Defendants

Action Burglary

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885		PROCEEDINGS.
May.	19.	Complaint filed - Warrant issued and given Const. Kinchloe
"	20.	Cause dismissed - Defendants discharged

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 271

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Emil Burnhard
Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	1885	PROCEEDINGS.
May.	21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant held for Examination in sum of $300" Bond approved and filed
Paid		

tiff

ant